

P1484

Abstract (poster session)

**Occurrence of resistant phenotypes in the Middle East and susceptibility to tigecycline: TEST 2007-2011**

M. Hackel\*, S. Lob, R. Badal, S. Bouchillon, M. Dowzicky (Schaumburg, Collegeville, US)

Objectives: Development of bacterial resistance continues to cause concern world-wide, but availability of newer agents offers clinicians options for therapy. Tigecycline (TIG) has a very broad spectrum of activity, including strains resistant to other drugs. As part of the global Tigecycline Evaluation Surveillance Trial (TEST), strains collected in the Middle East (ME) from 2007 to 2011 were evaluated for prevalence of resistant phenotypes and susceptibility to TIG. Methods: A total of 2,502 pathogens were collected from 9 sites in Israel, 1 in Jordan, 1 in Oman, 1 in Saudi Arabia, and 5 in Turkey from a variety of infection sources. MICs were determined at each site using custom broth microdilution panels following CLSI guidelines and interpreted according to FDA breakpoints for TIG. Results: Tigecycline's in vitro activity is summarized below: %S=% susceptible; ROW=rest of world; ESBL+=extended-spectrum beta-lactamase positive; BL+=beta-lactamase positive; MRSA=Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*; PRSP=penicillin-resistant *S. pneumoniae*; VR=vancomycin-resistant Conclusion: Significant differences exist in the proportion of resistant phenotypes of several species between ME and the rest of the world (ROW, TEST 2008-2010): ESBL+ isolates comprised 20% of all *E. coli* in the ME vs 15% in ROW, MRSA were 27% of all *S. aureus* in ME vs 36% in ROW, PRSP (26% vs 16%, respectively), and VR *E. faecium* (22% vs 31%, respectively); all  $p < 0.05$  (Chi-square with Yates correction). Tigecycline showed excellent in vitro activity against a diverse collection of gram-negative and gram-positive pathogens from the Middle East, including ESBL+ strains, MRSA, PRSP, and VRE. Susceptibility was  $\geq 93\%$  for all tested species and their resistant phenotypes, except ESBL+ *K. pneumoniae*, which was slightly less susceptible partly due to a low %S in Israel (83%).

Organism (% resistant phenotype ME/ROW)	n	MIC <sub>50</sub> (mg/L)	MIC <sub>90</sub> (mg/L)	% S
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	684	0.25	1	100
ESBL+ (20 ME/15 ROW)	136	0.5	1	100
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	601	0.5	2	94
ESBL+ (24 ME/21 ROW)	144	1	4	87
<i>Haemophilus influenzae</i>	237	0.12	0.25	100
BL+ (20 ME/19 ROW)	47	0.12	0.25	100
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	627	0.12	0.5	100
MRSA (27 ME/36 ROW)	172	0.25	0.5	100
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	262	0.015	0.03	99
PRSP (26 ME/16 ROW)	68	0.03	0.03	97
<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>	91	0.12	0.25	100
VR (22 ME/31 ROW)	20	0.12	0.25	100