

Guidelines for the management of adult lower respiratory tract infections - Summary

M. Woodhead¹, F. Blasi², S. Ewig³, J. Garau⁴, G. Huchon⁵, M. Ieven⁶, A. Ortqvist⁷, T. Schaberg⁸, A. Torres⁹, G. van der Heijden¹⁰, R. Read¹¹ and T. J. M. Verheij¹² Joint Taskforce of the European Respiratory Society and European Society for Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases

1) Department of Respiratory Medicine, Manchester Royal Infirmary, Manchester, UK, 2) Dipartimento Toraco-Polmonare e Cardiocircolatorio, Università degli Studi di Milano, IRCCS Ospedale Maggiore di Milano, Milano, Italy, 3) Chefarzt der Kliniken für Pneumologie und Infektiologie, Ev. Krankenhaus Herne und Augusta-Kranken-Anstalt, Bergstrasse, Bochum, Germany, 4) Department of Medicine, Hospital Universitari Mutua de Terrassa, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, 5) Pneumologie et Reanimation, Hotel-Dieu de Paris, 1 Place Parvis Notre-Dame, Paris, France, 6) Microbiology Laboratory, University Hospital Antwerp, Edegem, Belgium, 7) Department of Communicable Diseases Control and Prevention, Stockholm County, Stockholm, Sweden, 8) Zentrum für Pneumologie, Diakoniekrankenhaus Rotenburg, Elise-Averdiek-Str. Rotenburg, Germany, 9) Pulmonary Department, Institut Clinic del Torax, Hospital Clinic de Barcelona, IDIBAPS, CIBERES (Ciber de Enfermedades Respiratorias), Facultad de Medicina, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, 10) Clinical Epidemiology, Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Julius Center, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 11) Infectious Diseases, Department of Infection and Immunity, Sheffield School of Medicine and Biomedical Science, University of Sheffield, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield, UK and 12) General Practice, Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

Abstract

This document is an update of Guidelines published in 2005 and now includes scientific publications through to May 2010. It provides evidence-based recommendations for the most common management questions occurring in routine clinical practice in the management of adult patients with LRTI. Topics include management outside hospital, management inside hospital (including community-acquired pneumonia (CAP), acute exacerbations of COPD (AECOPD), acute exacerbations of bronchiectasis) and prevention. The target audience for the Guideline is thus all those whose routine practice includes the management of adult LRTI.

Keywords: Antibiotic, community-acquired pneumonia, exacerbation of COPD, guidelines, lower respiratory tract infection

Original Submission: 23 May 2011; **Revised Submission:** 6 June 2011; **Accepted:** 8 June 2011

Editor: D. Raoult

Clin Microbiol Infect 2011; **17** (Suppl. 6): 1–24

The full version of these guidelines can be found on Wiley Online Library.

Corresponding author: Prof Mark Woodhead, Department of Respiratory Medicine, Manchester Royal Infirmary, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9WL, UK
E-mail: mark.woodhead@cmft.nhs.uk

Introduction

In 2005 the European Respiratory Society (ERS), in collaboration with The European Society for Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID), published guidelines on the management of lower respiratory tract infections (LRTIs) in adults [1]. This document was based on published scientific literature up to the end of 2002. We have now updated

these guidelines to include publications to May 2010. The taskforce responsible for guideline development has been sponsored by the ERS and ESCMID. Members of the taskforce are members of the sponsoring ERS and/or ESCMID.

Our objective is to provide evidence-based recommendations for the most common management questions occurring in routine clinical practice in the management of adult patients with LRTI. The target audience for the guidelines is thus all those whose routine practice includes the management of adult LRTI.

This short document covers only the statements and recommendations in the guidelines. A much more detailed document, including not only the recommendations but also background information for each recommendation with

details about each new cited reference and the evidence grades, is available on the ERS and ESCMID websites. Both documents are divided into background information about microbial causes, antibiotic resistance and pharmacodynamics, and then the guideline section, which captures management outside hospital, management inside hospital (including community-acquired pneumonia (CAP), acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD) and acute exacerbations of bronchiectasis) and prevention. The guidelines are about the management of infection. This means that for conditions such as AECOPD, aspects of management that are unrelated to infection (e.g. use of steroids or bronchodilators) are not included.

Because this is an update, original data and publications have usually not been repeated and the reader is referred to the original publication [1] for this.

Methods

Using the same search filter as for the 2005 document (this is described in detail in the previous publication [1] and website documents—<http://www.ersnet.org>; <http://www.escmid.org>) we identified relevant manuscripts in PubMed published from July 2002 to May 2010. We retrieved 15 261 titles and loaded them into an electronic database. From these, 1677 titles were identified as potentially relevant publications by the expert panel members. The same process of evidence appraisal and grading and recommendation development and grading as in the 2005 document was used. As this is an update using the same methodologies, the layout of the document, including text, recommendations and evidence tables, is the same as 2005.

The document takes each clinical question for which there was a recommendation in the 2005 guideline and presents new information when available followed by a new recommendation. In some circumstances, because of lack of new evidence, or sometimes even in the presence of new evidence, the recommendation is unchanged from 2005. Where this is the case it is indicated.

In some parts of the guidelines new questions and recommendations have been added to cover relevant areas not included in the 2005 guidelines (e.g. aspiration pneumonia).

LRTI Definitions

The guidelines are to be used to guide the management of adults with lower respiratory tract infection (LRTI). As will be seen in the following text, this diagnosis, and the other clinical syndromes within this grouping, can be difficult to make accurately.

In the absence of agreed definitions of these syndromes these guidelines are to be used when, in the opinion of a clinician, an LRTI syndrome is present. The following are put forward as definitions to guide the clinician, but it will be seen in the ensuing text that some of these labels will always be inaccurate. These definitions are pragmatic and based on a synthesis of available studies. They are primarily meant to be simple to apply in clinical practice, and this might be at the expense of scientific accuracy. These definitions are not mutually exclusive, with lower respiratory tract infection being an umbrella term that includes all others, which can also be used for cases that cannot be classified into one of the other groups. No new evidence has been identified that would lead to a change in the clinical definitions, which are therefore unchanged from the 2005 publication.

Since the publication of the 2005 guidelines the term health care-associated pneumonia (HCAP) has been put forward to capture groups of patients with pneumonia, some acquired outside hospital, expected to be caused by similar pathogens, but different from those usually found in community-acquired LRTI. In the opinion of the taskforce members the evidence base does not support the use of this term as being clinically relevant in Europe at the present time. HCAP is therefore not covered further in this document [2–17].

Lower respiratory tract infection

An acute illness (present for 21 days or less), usually with cough as the main symptom, with at least one other lower respiratory tract symptom (sputum production, dyspnoea, wheeze or chest discomfort/pain) and no alternative explanation (e.g. sinusitis or asthma).

Acute bronchitis (AB)

An acute illness, occurring in a patient without chronic lung disease, with symptoms including cough, which may or may not be productive and associated with other symptoms or clinical signs that suggest LRTI, and no alternative explanation (e.g. sinusitis or asthma).

Influenza

An acute illness, usually with fever, together with the presence of one or more of headache, myalgia, cough and sore throat.

Suspected community-acquired pneumonia (CAP)

An acute illness with cough and at least one of new focal chest signs, fever >4 days or dyspnoea/tachypnoea, and without other obvious cause.

Definite community-acquired pneumonia (CAP)

As above but supported by chest radiograph findings of lung shadowing that is likely to be new. In the elderly, the

presence of chest radiograph shadowing accompanied by acute clinical illness (unspecified) without other obvious cause.

Acute exacerbation of COPD (AECOPD)

An event in the natural course of the disease characterized by a worsening of the patient's baseline dyspnoea, cough and/or sputum beyond day-to-day variability sufficient to warrant a change in management. If chest radiograph shadowing, consistent with infection, is present the patient is considered to have CAP.

Acute exacerbation of bronchiectasis (AEBX)

In a patient with features that suggest bronchiectasis, an event in the natural course of the disease characterized by a worsening in the patient's baseline dyspnoea and/or cough and/or sputum beyond day-to-day variability sufficient to warrant a change in management. If chest radiograph shadowing, consistent with infection, is present the patient is considered to have CAP.

Background

What new information is available about the microbiological causes of LRTI?

There has been no major change in causative pathogens for LRTI. More information is available about the frequency of polymicrobial infections, including viral infections. PVL-producing *Staphylococcus aureus* has emerged as a new cause, often of severe CAP, but currently remains uncommon [18–90].

What information is available about the frequency and clinical relevance of antimicrobial resistance in these settings?

- 1 In pneumococci, erythromycin MICs >0.5 mg/L predict clinical failure. The prevalence of resistance (R) in many countries compromises the efficacy of macrolides in the treatment of pneumococcal infection. The prevalence of resistance will dictate the need to reassess current recommendations for the treatment of CAP.
- 2 Adequate choice and dosing of selected β -lactams is still useful in the treatment of extrameningeal pneumococcal infections. No documented failures in patients with extrameningeal infections due to penicillin R strains treated with adequate doses of penicillins and third generation cephalosporins. Penicillin, 2 g (3.2 mU) i.v. Q 4 h, should be adequate for strains with a penicillin MIC of \leq 8 mg/L; adjust dose for renal impairment; ceftriaxone 1 g i.v. or i.m. Q 12 h or cefotaxime 2 g i.v. Q 6 h, should be adequate for strains with n MIC of \leq 8 mg/L. New formulation of amoxicillin/clavulanate (2 g/125 Q 12 h)

eradicated amoxicillin-resistant strains (MICs, 4–8 mg/L) in two randomized controlled trials. Oral cephalosporins are not adequate for the treatment of infection caused by strains with penicillin MICs >2 mg/L.

- 3 Fluoroquinolones are highly active and efficacious against respiratory pathogens; they should be used in well-defined circumstances. If the prevalence of first step mutants is low, the use of the most potent FQ is a logical choice if resistance has to be avoided/delayed. Previous exposure to an FQ in the recent past precludes the use of a member of this class for the empirical treatment of CAP.
- 4 Macrolides show, at best, only modest activity against *H. influenzae*. The existence of efflux pumps leads to loss of susceptibility to this class in more than 98% of *H. influenzae* strains.
- 5 Among 'atypicals', antibiotic resistance is rare and very seldom responsible for clinical failures.
- 6 Macrolide resistance in *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* is rising in Japan; there is a need for European local surveillance studies.
- 7 The role of community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (CA-MRSA) in CAP is poorly defined, although emergent in Europe. CA-MRSA is usually only resistant to the β -lactams and susceptible to most other antibiotic classes. The antibiotic treatment of CA-MRSA pneumonia is not known. As suppression of toxin production may correlate with improved outcome, vancomycin alone may not be the optimal treatment for pneumonia. Thus, the combination of a bactericidal agent with a toxin-suppressing agent, such as clindamycin or linezolid, has been suggested as the optimal choice.
- 8 The *in vivo* selection of resistance that results from inappropriate antimicrobial therapy is a warning that emphasizes the importance of the proper use of antimicrobials [91–128].

What new information is available about antimicrobial pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics?

The only new information is about the need for high levofloxacin doses (750 mg once daily) in the treatment of *Pseudomonas* and *Klebsiella* [129,130]. Two other new studies do now alter the current guideline recommendations [131,132].

Management Outside Hospital

Introduction

Lower respiratory tract infection is a broad description of a group of disease entities, encompassing acute bronchitis, pneumonia and exacerbations of chronic lung disease. In primary

care it is very difficult to differentiate between those different diseases without doing extensive additional diagnostic tests. Patients can present with cough, dyspnoea, tachypnoea, fever, pain in the chest, wheezing and auscultatory abnormalities. There is huge overlap in presentation between the different lower respiratory diseases mentioned above and it is neither feasible nor cost-efficient to do a full diagnostic work-up in all patients. Therefore an empirical and pragmatic approach is warranted. The statements and recommendations below are based on primary care studies, expert opinion and consensus among members of the working group.

Diagnosis

When should aspiration pneumonia be considered? 'Aspiration pneumonia should be considered in patients with difficulties with swallowing who show signs of an acute LRTI. In these patients a chest X-ray should be performed' [C3].

No new information. Recommendation not changed.

When should left ventricular failure be considered? 'Left ventricular failure should be considered in patients above 65, with either orthopnoea, displaced apex beat and/or a history of myocardial infarction, hypertension or atrial fibrillation'.

'Low serum levels of Atrial Natriuretic Peptide (BNP <40 pg/mL) or NT pro-BNP <150 pg/mg) make the presence of left ventricular failure unlikely' [C3].

New information. Recommendation not changed [133–135].

When should pulmonary embolism be considered? 'Pulmonary embolism should be considered in patients with one of the following characteristics: a history of DVT or pulmonary embolism, immobilization in the past 4 weeks, or malignant disease' [C3].

No new information. Recommendation not changed.

When should chronic airway disease be considered? 'In patients with a persistent cough and at least two of the following, wheezing (either as sign or as symptom), previous consultations for wheezing or cough, dyspnoea, prolonged expiration, a smoking history and symptoms of allergy, lung-function tests should be considered to assess the presence of chronic airway disease. In elderly patients who smoke and present with a cough, COPD should be considered' [B1] [136,137].

How to differentiate between pneumonia and other respiratory tract infections. 'A patient should be suspected of having pneumonia when one of the following signs and symptoms are present: new focal chest signs, dyspnoea, tachypnoea, pulse rate >100 or fever >4 days. In patients with a

suspected pneumonia a test for serum-level of C-reactive protein (CRP) can be done. A level of CRP <20 mg/L at presentation, with symptoms for >24 h, makes the presence of pneumonia highly unlikely; a level of >100 mg/L makes pneumonia likely'.

'In case of persisting doubt after CRP testing, a chest X-ray should be considered to confirm or reject the diagnosis' [B1] [138–143].

Should the primary care physician test for a possible microbiological aetiology of LRTI? 'Microbiological tests such as cultures and gram stains are not recommended' [B1].

'Biomarkers to assess the presence of a bacterial pathogen are not recommended in primary care' [A1] [141,142,144].

New information. Recommendation not changed.

Prognosis

How should the risk of complications be assessed in a primary care patient with LRTI? 'Patients with an elevated risk of complications should be monitored carefully and referral should be considered. In patients over 65 years of age the following characteristics are associated with a complicated course: presence of COPD, diabetes or heart failure, previous hospitalization in the past year, taking oral glucocorticoids, antibiotic use in the previous month, general malaise, absence of upper respiratory symptoms, confusion/diminished consciousness, pulse >100, temperature >38, respiratory rate >30, blood pressure <90/60, and when the primary care physician diagnoses pneumonia [A3]. In patients under 65 the working group thinks that diabetes, a diagnosis of pneumonia and possibly also asthma are risk factors for complications. For all age groups, serious conditions such as active malignant disease, liver and renal disease and other disorders that are relatively rare in primary care but affect immunocompetence, do also increase risk of complications' [C3] [145–150].

Treatment

Should symptomatic acute cough be treated? 'Cough suppressants, expectorants, mucolytics, antihistamines, inhaled corticosteroids and bronchodilators should not be prescribed in acute LRTI in primary care' [A1] [151–153].

When should antibiotic treatment be considered in patients with LRTI? Antibiotic treatment should be prescribed in patients with suspected or definite pneumonia (see How to differentiate between pneumonia and other respiratory tract infections) [C1].

Antibiotic treatment should be considered for patients with LRTI and serious comorbidity such as:

- 1 selected exacerbations of COPD; (see below)
- 2 cardiac failure;
- 3 insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus;
- 4 a serious neurological disorder (stroke etc.) [C3] [154,155].

What are the indications for antibiotic treatment of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive lung disease (COPD)? 'An antibiotic should be given in exacerbations of COPD in patients with all three of the following symptoms: increased dyspnoea, sputum volume and sputum purulence. In addition, antibiotics should be considered for exacerbations in patients with severe COPD' [C1].

New information. Recommendation not changed [156].

Which antibiotics should be used in patients with LRTI? 'Amoxicillin or tetracycline should be used as the antibiotic of first choice based on least chance of harm and wide experience in clinical practice. In the case of hypersensitivity, a tetracycline or macrolide such as azithromycin, clarithromycin, erythromycin or roxithromycin is a good alternative in countries with low pneumococcal macrolide resistance. National/local resistance rates should be considered when choosing a particular antibiotic. When there are clinically relevant bacterial resistance rates against all first choice agents, treatment with levofloxacin or moxifloxacin may be considered' [C1] [157,158].

Is antiviral treatment useful in patients with LRTI? 'The empirical use of antiviral treatment in patients suspected of having influenza is usually not recommended [B1]. Only in high-risk patients who have typical influenza symptoms (fever, muscle ache, general malaise and respiratory tract infection), for <2 days and during a known influenza epidemic, can antiviral treatment can be considered' [A1].

New information. Recommendation not changed [159,160].

How should patients with LRTI be monitored? 'A patient should be advised to return if the symptoms take longer than 3 weeks to disappear'.

'Clinical effect of antibiotic treatment should be expected within 3 days and patients should be instructed to contact their doctor if this effect is not noticeable. Seriously ill patients, meaning those with suspected pneumonia and elderly with relevant co-morbidity, should be followed-up 2 days after the first visit'.

'All patients or persons in their environment should be advised to contact their doctor again if fever exceeds 4 days, dyspnoea gets worse, patients stop drinking or consciousness is decreasing' [C3].

No new information. Recommendation rephrased.

When should patients with LRTI be referred to hospital? In the following categories of patients, referral to hospital should be considered.

- 1 Severely ill patients with suspected pneumonia (the following signs and symptoms are especially relevant here: tachypnoea, tachycardia, hypotension and confusion).
- 2 Patients with pneumonia who fail to respond to antibiotic treatment.
- 3 Elderly patients with pneumonia and elevated risk of complications, notably those with relevant co-morbidity (diabetes, heart failure, moderate and severe COPD, liver disease, renal disease or malignant disease).
- 4 Patients suspected of pulmonary embolism.
- 5 Patients suspected of malignant disease of the lung [C3].

These recommendations are based on consensus in the working group. There are no studies comparing different referral strategies.

Management Inside Hospital

Community-acquired pneumonia

Who should be admitted to hospital? 'The decision to hospitalize remains a clinical decision. However, this decision should be validated against an objective tool of risk assessment. The CRB-65 is most practical in its simplicity. In patients meeting a CRB-65 of one or more (except age ≥ 65 as the only criterion met), hospitalization should be seriously considered [A3]. Biomarkers (e.g. CRP or procalcitonin) have a significant potential to improve severity assessment but have not been sufficiently evaluated for the decision to hospitalize. [A3] [141,145,161–191].

Who should be considered for ICU admission? 'Findings reflecting acute respiratory failure, severe sepsis or septic shock and radiographic extension of infiltrates, as well as severely decompensated comorbidities, should prompt consideration of admission to the ICU or an intermediate care unit' [A3].

'The predictive potential of rules for the prediction of ICU admission depends on local facilities. Therefore, it appears that severity criteria should be used to indicate the need for intensive care treatment rather than care in a special unit'.

'The presence of at least two of systolic blood pressure <90 mmHg, severe respiratory failure ($\text{PaO}_2/\text{F}_i\text{O}_2 < 250$) or involvement of >2 lobes on chest radiograph (multilobar involvement), or one of requirement for mechanical ventilation or requirement for vasopressors >4 h (septic shock),

indicates severe CAP. Alternatively, the presence of several minor criteria as provided in the last IDSA/ATS update may indicate severe CAP.' [A3].

'Both rules should increase the attention given to the recognition of patients with unstable courses of pneumonia in order to avoid delayed transfer to the ICU' [192–200].

What is the value of blood cultures in the diagnosis of community-acquired pneumonia? 'Two sets of blood cultures should be performed in all patients with CAP who require hospitalization' [A3].

New information. Recommendation not changed [61,201–205].

What other invasive techniques for normally sterile specimens can be useful in the laboratory diagnosis of pneumonia? (a) *Thoracentesis*: diagnostic thoracentesis should be performed in hospitalized patients with CAP when a significant (as judged by the admitting physician) pleural effusion is present [A3].

No new information. Recommendation not changed.

(b) *Transthoracic needle aspiration (TNA)*: because of the inherent potential adverse effects, TNA can be considered ONLY on an individual basis for some severely ill patients, with a focal infiltrate, in whom less invasive measures have been non-diagnostic [A3].

No new information. Recommendation not changed.

(c) *Bronchoscopic protected specimen brush (PSB) and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) and quantitative endotracheal aspirates (QEA)*: BAL should be the preferred technique in non-resolving pneumonia [A3].

'Bronchoscopic sampling of the lower respiratory tract can be considered in intubated patients and selected non-intubated patients, where gas exchange status allows' [A3].

New information. Recommendation not changed [206].

What is the value of sputum examination? *Gram stain*: should be performed when a purulent sputum sample can be obtained from patients with CAP and processed in a timely manner. The presence of a predominant bacterial morphotype allows inference of the aetiological bacterial species and interpretation of the results of sputum culture [A3].

New information. Recommendation not changed [207–213].

Culture: a culture from a purulent sputum specimen of a bacterial species compatible with the morphotype observed in the Gram stain, which is processed correctly, should be considered for confirmation of the species identification and antibiotic susceptibility testing [B3].

No new information. Recommendation not changed.

What can antigen tests offer in the diagnosis of community acquired pneumonia? 'The immunochromatographic urinary antigen test for *S. pneumoniae* should be performed in patients admitted to the hospital for reasons of illness severity. This test should also be considered whenever a pleural fluid sample is obtained in the setting of a parapneumonic effusion' [A3].

'Urine *L. pneumophila* serogroup I antigen detection should be performed in patients admitted to the hospital for reasons of severity and in other patients where this infection is clinically or epidemiologically suspected [A3]. *L. pneumophila* serogroup I antigen detection in urine is the most rapid method to diagnose or exclude the infection. A negative test makes legionella unlikely, but does not exclude legionella infection' [A3] [209,214–242].

What can serological tests offer in the diagnosis of pneumonia? 'Serology for infections caused by *M. pneumoniae*, *C. pneumoniae* and *Legionella* is more useful in epidemiological studies than in the routine management of the individual patient. If aetiological diagnosis of the atypical agents is considered in the management of the individual patient (e.g. in patients not responding to β -lactam therapy), serological tests should not be performed as the only routine diagnostic test [A3]. A combination of IgM antibody detection and PCR may be the most sensitive approach' [A3] [243–250].

Are amplification tests useful for the diagnosis of LRTI? Where available, application of quantitative molecular tests for the detection of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, both in sputum and in blood, may be valuable in CAP patients in whom antibiotic therapy has been initiated and may be a useful tool for severity assessment. Application of molecular tests for the detection of influenza and respiratory syncytial virus should be considered during the winter season and for the detection of atypical pathogens provided the tests are validated and the results can be obtained sufficiently rapidly to be therapeutically relevant' [A3] [18,246–249,251–266].

What classification should be used for treatment? 'Antimicrobial treatment has to be empirical and should follow an approach according to the individual risk of mortality. The assessment of severity according to mild, moderate and severe pneumonia implies a decision about the most appropriate treatment setting (ambulatory, hospital ward or ICU) [A4]. Antimicrobial treatment should be initiated as soon as possible [A3]'.

When should antibiotics be administered after diagnosis of pneumonia? 'Antibiotic treatment should be initiated immediately after diagnosis of CAP [C3]. In patients with CAP and septic

shock, delay must not be more than 1 h after diagnosis [A1] [267–273].

What initial empirical treatments are recommended? Treatment options for hospitalized patients with community-acquired pneumonia (no need for intensive care treatment) (in alphabetical order) [C4].

Aminopenicillin ± macrolide^{a,b}
 Aminopenicillin/β-lactamase inhibitor^a ± macrolide^b
 Non-antipseudomonal cephalosporin
 Cefotaxime or ceftriaxone ± macrolide^b
 Levofloxacin^a
 Moxifloxacin^{a,c}
 Penicillin G ± macrolide

^aCan be applied as sequential treatment using the same drug.

^bNew macrolides preferred to erythromycin.

^cWithin the fluoroquinolones, moxifloxacin has the highest antipneumococcal activity.

^dIn patients at risk of gram-negative enteric bacterium, particularly strains with extended-spectrum β-lactamase, but without risk (or after exclusion) of *P. aeruginosa*, ertapenem may be used [100,158,274–304].

Treatment options for patients with severe community-acquired pneumonia [C4] (ICU or intermediate care).

No risk factors for *P. aeruginosa*

Non-antipseudomonal cephalosporin III + macrolide^a
 or
 moxifloxacin or levofloxacin ± non-antipseudomonal cephalosporin III

Risk factors for *P. aeruginosa*

Antipseudomonal cephalosporin^b or acylureidopenicillin/β-lactamaseinhibitor or carbapenem (meropenem preferred, up to 6 g possible, 3 × 2 in 3-h infusion)
 PLUS
 ciprofloxacin^c OR
 PLUS
 macrolide^a + aminoglycoside (gentamicin, tobramycin or amikacin)

^aNew macrolides preferred to erythromycin.

^bCeftazidime has to be combined with penicillin G for coverage of *S. pneumoniae*.

^cLevofloxacin 750 mg/24 h or 500 mg twice daily is an alternative and also covers Gram-positive bacteria if treatment is empirical [301,305–315].

What is the recommended treatment for specific identified pathogens?

Pathogen	Recommended treatment
Highly resistant <i>S. pneumoniae</i> (>8 mg/dL)	Levofloxacin Moxifloxacin Vancomycin, teicoplanin Linezolid
MSSA	Flucloxacillin Cephalosporin II Clindamycin Levofloxacin Moxifloxacin
MRSA	Vancomycin, teicoplanin ± rifampin Linezolid (Clindamycin if sensitive)
Ampicillin-resistant <i>H. influenzae</i>	Aminopenicillin plus β-lactamase inhibitor Levofloxacin Moxifloxacin
<i>Mycoplasma pneumoniae</i>	Doxycycline Macrolide Levofloxacin Moxifloxacin

<i>Chlamydomphila pneumoniae</i>	Doxycycline Macrolide Levofloxacin Moxifloxacin
<i>Legionella</i> spp.	Levofloxacin Moxifloxacin (most data available for levofloxacin) Macrolide (azithromycin preferred) ± Rifampicin
<i>Coxiella burnetii</i>	Doxycycline Levofloxacin Moxifloxacin
<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i>	Third-generation cephalosporin + aminoglycoside Ampicillin-sulbactam
No experience in pneumonia for tigecycline [99,316–322].	

What should be the duration of treatment? The duration of treatment should generally not exceed 8 days in a responding patient [C2]. Biomarkers, particularly PCT, may guide shorter treatment duration [323–331].

When should intravenous treatment be used and when should the switch to oral occur? In ambulatory pneumonia, treatment can be applied orally from the beginning [A3]. Some carefully selected hospital inpatients may also be candidates for exclusively oral treatment.

‘In hospitalized patients, sequential treatment should be considered in all patients except the most severely ill. The optimal time to switch to oral treatment is also unknown; this decision should be guided by the resolution of the most prominent clinical features at admission [A3]. In most patients it is probably not necessary to observe patients in hospital after having switched to oral treatment [A3]. Switch to oral treatment after reaching clinical stability is also safe in patients with severe pneumonia’ [A2] [332–338].

Which additional therapies are recommended? ‘All patients should be subject to early mobilization’ [A3].

‘Low molecular weight heparin should be given in patients with acute respiratory failure [A3]. The use of non-invasive ventilation is not yet standard care but can be considered, particularly in patients with COPD [B3] and ARDS’ [A3].

‘The treatment of severe sepsis and septic shock is confined to supportive measures’ [A3].

‘Steroids are not recommended in the treatment of pneumonia [339–347]’ [A3].

When should aspiration pneumonia be suspected? There is no agreed definition. Aspiration pneumonia should be suspected in those with CAP which either:

- 1 follows an episode of witnessed aspiration; or
- 2 occurs in the presence of risk factors for aspiration, including reduced consciousness level, and dysphagia due to mechanical or neurological upper digestive tract dysfunction [C3] [6,44,348–355].

Evidence Table

What empirical antibiotic treatment is recommended for aspiration pneumonia?

Hospital ward, admitted from home	ICU or admitted from nursing home
Oral or i.v. β -lactam/ β -lactamase inhibitor or Clindamycin or i.v. cephalosporin + oral metronidazole or moxifloxacin	Clindamycin + cephalosporin or Cephalosporin + metronidazole
Refs. [6,44,351,352,356–361].	

How should response be assessed and when should chest radiograph be repeated? 'Response to treatment should be monitored by simple clinical criteria, including body temperature, respiratory and haemodynamic parameters. The same parameters should be applied to judge suitability for hospital discharge [A3]. Complete response, including radiographical resolution, requires longer time periods. C-reactive protein should be measured on days one and three/four, especially in those with unfavourable clinical parameters. The same clinical parameters should be applied to judge suitability for hospital discharge [A3]. Discharge decisions should be based on robust markers of clinical stabilization [A3]' [176,199,362–365].

How should the non-responding patient be assessed? 'Two types of treatment failures, non-responding pneumonia and slowly resolving pneumonia, should be differentiated [A3]. Non-responding pneumonia occurring in the first 72 h of admission is usually due to antimicrobial resistance or an unusually virulent organism or a host defence defect or wrong diagnosis. Non-response after 72 h is usually due to a complication. The evaluation of non-responding pneumonia depends on the clinical condition. There are no trials of different approaches to the non-responding patient to guide this recommendation. In unstable patients, full reinvestigation followed by a second empirical antimicrobial treatment regimen should be carried out. The latter may be withheld in stable patients. Slowly resolving pneumonia should be reinvestigated according to clinical needs, the condition of the patient and his individual risk factors [C3]'.

Exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Which hospitalized patients with COPD exacerbations should receive antibiotics?

- 1 Patients with all three of the following symptoms: increased dyspnoea, sputum volume and sputum purulence (a type I Anthonisen exacerbation) [A2].
- 2 Patients with only two of the above three symptoms (a type II Anthonisen exacerbation) when increased purulence of sputum is one of the two cardinal symptoms [A2].
- 3 Patients with a severe exacerbation that requires invasive or non-invasive mechanical ventilation [A2].
- 4 Antibiotics are generally not recommended in Anthonisen type II without purulence and type III patients (one or less of the above symptoms) [A2].

New information. Recommendation not changed [366–373].

What stratification of patients with COPD exacerbation is recommended to direct treatment? Group A: admitted to hospital without risk factors for *P. aeruginosa* infection [A3].

Group B: admitted to hospital with risk factors for *P. aeruginosa* [A3].

New information. Recommendation reworded, but not changed [374–378].

What are the risk factors for P. aeruginosa? *P. aeruginosa* should be considered in the presence of at least two of the following.

- 1 Recent hospitalization [A3].
- 2 Frequent (>4 courses per year) or recent administration of antibiotics (last 3 months) [A3].
- 3 Severe disease (FEV1 <30%) [A3].
- 4 Oral steroid use (>10 mg of prednisolone daily in the last 2 weeks) [A3] [83,379–381].

Which microbiological investigations are recommended for the hospitalized patient with COPD exacerbation? 'Sputum cultures or endotracheal aspirates (in mechanically ventilated patients) should be obtained and are a good alternative to bronchoscopic procedures for evaluation of the bacterial burden by potential pathogenic microorganisms' [A3].

Recommendation modified [84,367,382–388].

Which initial antimicrobial treatments are recommended for patients admitted to hospital with COPD exacerbation?

- 1 In patients without risk factors for *P. aeruginosa* several options for antibiotic treatment are available. The

selection of one or other antibiotic should depend on the severity of the exacerbation, local pattern of resistances, tolerability, cost and potential compliance. Co-amoxiclav is recommended while levofloxacin and moxifloxacin are alternatives [A2].

- In patients with risk factors for *P. aeruginosa*, ciprofloxacin (or levofloxacin 750 mg/24 h or 500 mg twice daily) is the antibiotic of choice when the oral route is available. When parenteral treatment is needed, ciprofloxacin or a β -lactam with antipseudomonal activity are the options available. The addition of aminoglycosides is optional [A2].
- The use of the oral or intravenous route should be guided by the stability of the clinical condition and the severity of exacerbation. Switch (intravenous to oral) should be done by day three of admission if the patient is clinically stable [A3] [389–391].

How should the non-responding patient with COPD exacerbation be assessed?

- After close re-evaluation of non-infectious causes of failure (i.e. inadequate medical treatment, embolisms, cardiac failure, other) a careful microbiological reassessment, as mentioned in the section on microbiological diagnosis, should be considered [C3].
- Change to an antibiotic with good coverage against *P. aeruginosa*, *S. pneumoniae* resistant to antibiotics and non-fermenters, and subsequent adjustment of the new antibiotic treatment according to microbiological results, should be considered for treatment in cases of failure [C3].

New information. Recommendation not changed [392].

Exacerbations of bronchiectasis

General recommendations for exacerbations of bronchiectasis.

- Periodic surveillance of colonization should be considered [B3].
- Antibiotic treatment should be given to patients with exacerbations [B3].
- Obtaining a sputum sample for culture before starting antibiotic treatment should be done in most cases and particularly in those requiring hospitalization [B3].
- For empirical antibiotic treatment, patients should be stratified according to the potential risk of *Pseudomonas* spp infection [B3] (see What are the risk factors for *P. aeruginosa*, above). Recommended antibiotics are summarized in the box below.
- Empirical antibiotics should be adjusted or modified according to sputum culture results [A3].

New information. Recommendation not changed [393,394].

What antibiotics are recommended for exacerbations of bronchiectasis? [C4].

	Oral treatment	Parenteral treatment
No risk of <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp	Amoxicillin-clavulanate Moxifloxacin Levofloxacin Ciprofloxacin ^b	
Risk of <i>Pseudomonas</i> spp ^a		Ceftazidime or carbapenem or piperacillin-tazobactam

^aUse the same criteria mentioned for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbation.
^bLevofloxacin 750 mg/24 h or 500 mg twice daily is an alternative.
Refs. [88, 393,394].

Prevention

Prevention by methods other than vaccination

Does oral immunization with bacterial extracts prevent LRTI? In patients with chronic bronchitis (CB) or COPD, *H. influenzae* oral vaccine [B1] or bacterial extracts (OM-85 BV) [B2] should not be given.

New information. Recommendation not changed [395–398].

What is the role of prophylactic antibiotic therapy in chronic bronchitis or COPD? In patients with CB or COPD, oral or parenteral antibiotics should not be given for prevention [A1].

New information. Recommendation not changed [399–401].

What is the role of prophylactic antibiotic therapy in patients with COPD or bronchiectasis? (a) COPD: the use of nebulized antibiotics or intermittent long-term macrolide therapy is not recommended in COPD patients in general [C4] [402].

(b) Bronchiectasis—nebulized antibiotics: there is not enough evidence to recommend the use of nebulized antibiotics (tobramycin) in non-cystic fibrosis-bronchiectasis [C2] [403,404].

(c) Bronchiectasis—macrolides: there is not enough evidence to recommend the use of intermittent long-term macrolide therapy in non-cystic fibrosis-bronchiectasis in general [C2] [405,406].

Does antibiotic treatment of upper respiratory tract infections prevent LRTI? 'Antibiotics should not be given as treatment for URTI to prevent LRTI' [A1].

No new information. Recommendation not changed.

Does treatment with inhaled steroids or long-acting beta-2-agonists or long-acting anti-muscarinics prevent LRTI? Inhaled steroids [B1] or long-acting beta-2-agonists [C4] or long-acting anti-muscarinics [C4] should not be used to prevent LRTI (this does not mean that they might not prevent exacerbations of COPD, which is an issue beyond the scope of this document).

No new information. Recommendation not changed.

Does regular physiotherapy prevent LRTI? Physiotherapy should not be used as a preventive approach against LRTI [C4].

No new information. Recommendation not changed.

Do antiviral substances prevent influenza virus infection? Prevention of influenza by antiviral substances should only be considered in special situations (for example in outbreaks in closed communities during influenza seasons) [A1]. In the case of seasonal influenza outbreaks or a pandemic situation the national recommendations should be followed.

New information. Recommendation not changed [407].

Are oral mucolytics useful for the prevention of LRTI? In patients with bronchiectasis, oral mucolytics should not be used for prevention of LRTI [B1]. Prescription of oral mucolytics through the winter months should be considered for those who have frequent or prolonged exacerbations, or those who are repeatedly admitted to hospital with exacerbations of COPD and for whom inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) are not prescribed [B1] [408].

Is there evidence that homeopathic substances prevent LRTI? Homeopathic substances should not be used as a preventive measure against LRTI [C4].

New information Recommendation not changed [409–411].

Oral care in nursing homes. Intensified oral care in nursing home residents should be considered as a preventive measure to reduce the incidence of pneumonia and the risk of death from pneumonia in these patients [B1] [412–414].

Are there commonly used medications decreasing the risk of LRTI or CAP? Since the last version of these recommendations a variety of commonly used drugs has been investigated with regard to their potential to decrease the risk of LRTI or CAP. These drugs are: inhaled steroids in COPD

patients and ACE inhibitors or statins in the general population.

Inhaled steroids in COPD patients. Inhaled steroids might decrease the risk of acute exacerbation in subgroups of COPD patients, but they do not decrease the risk of LRTI. In fact, they seem to increase the risk of LTRI/CAP in COPD patients [415–419].

Statin use in the general population and the risk of CAP and death from CAP. The use of statins and/or ACE inhibitors in the general population has been investigated with regard to their potential to decrease the risk of CAP or CAP-related death.

The use of statins and/or ACE inhibitors might decrease the risk of CAP or CAP-related death in the general population. There are many more data for statins than for ACE inhibitors [420–425].

Recommendations for influenza vaccination

Should influenza vaccine be used to prevent LRTI?

- 1 Influenza vaccine should be given yearly to persons at increased risk of complications due to influenza [A2]. Vaccination should be carried out for immunocompetent adults belonging to one, or more, of the following categories: age >65 years, institutionalization, chronic cardiac diseases, chronic pulmonary diseases, diabetes mellitus, chronic renal diseases, haemoglobinopathies, and women who will be in the second or third trimester of pregnancy during the influenza season [8].
- 2 Repeated vaccinations are safe and do not lead to a decreased immune response [B1].
- 3 In adults, inactivated, rather than live attenuated, vaccine should be used [A1].
- 4 Yearly vaccination should be carried out for health care personnel, especially in settings where elderly persons or other high-risk groups are treated [B2].
- 5 General vaccination of all healthy adults should not be carried out in the absence of robust cost-effectiveness data for vaccination [B1] [426–441].

Recommendations for pneumococcal vaccination

Should pneumococcal vaccine be used to prevent LRTI?

- 1 The 23-valent polysaccharide pneumococcal vaccine prevents invasive pneumococcal disease in older persons and in other high-risk groups and should be given to all adult persons at risk for pneumococcal disease [A1].

- 2 Risk factors for pneumococcal disease are age >65 years, institutionalization, dementia, seizure disorders, congestive heart failure, cerebrovascular disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, history of a previous pneumonia, chronic liver disease, diabetes mellitus, functional or anatomical asplenia, and chronic cerebrospinal fluid leakage [B3]. Although smoking seems to be a significant risk factor in otherwise healthy younger adults, measures aimed at reducing smoking and exposure to environmental tobacco smoke should be preferred in this group.
- 3 Revaccination, once and not earlier than 5 years after primary vaccination, should be performed in asplenic patients and can be considered in the elderly and other high-risk groups [B3].
- 4 There are not enough data to give any recommendations concerning the use of conjugate pneumococcal vaccine in adults [442–473].

Recommendations for implementation. Active interventions should be used to enhance vaccination with either or both of the vaccines, in order to achieve an adequate vaccination coverage of the targeted population [A1] [474–477].

Reference

1. Woodhead M, Blasi F, Ewig S et al. Guidelines for the management of adult lower respiratory tract infections. *Eur Respir J* 2005; 26: 1138–1180.
2. American Thoracic Society; Infectious Diseases Society of America. Guidelines for the management of adults with hospital-acquired, ventilator-associated, and healthcare-associated pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005; 171: 388–416.
3. Kollef MH, Shorr AF, Tabak YP, Gupta V, Liu LZ, Johannes RS. Epidemiology and outcomes of health-care-associated pneumonia: results from a large US database of culture-positive pneumonia. *Chest* 2005; 128: 3854–3862.
4. Micek ST, Kollef KE, Reichley RM, Roubinian N, Kollef MH. Health care-associated pneumonia and community-acquired pneumonia: a single-center experience. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2007; 51: 3568–3573.
5. El Solh AA, Pietrantonio C, Bhat A, Bhora M, Berbary E. Indicators of potentially drug-resistant bacteria in severe nursing home-acquired pneumonia. *Clin Infect Dis* 2004; 39: 474–480.
6. El-Solh AA, Pietrantonio C, Bhat A et al. Microbiology of severe aspiration pneumonia in institutionalized elderly. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2003; 167: 1650–1654.
7. Carratala J, Mykietiuik A, Fernandez-Sabe N et al. Health care-associated pneumonia requiring hospital admission: epidemiology, antibiotic therapy, and clinical outcomes. *Arch Intern Med* 2007; 167: 1393–1399.
8. Garb JL, Brown RB, Garb JR, Tuthill RW. Differences in etiology of pneumonias in nursing home and community patients. *JAMA* 1978; 240: 2169–2172.
9. Lim WS, Macfarlane JT. A prospective comparison of nursing home acquired pneumonia with community acquired pneumonia. *Eur Respir J* 2001; 18: 362–368.
10. Marrie TJ, Blanchard W. A comparison of nursing home-acquired pneumonia patients with patients with community-acquired pneumonia and nursing home patients without pneumonia. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1997; 45: 50–55.
11. Meehan TP, Chua-Reyes JM, Tate J et al. Process of care performance, patient characteristics, and outcomes in elderly patients hospitalized with community-acquired or nursing home-acquired pneumonia. *Chest* 2000; 117: 1378–1385.
12. Naughton BJ, Mylotte JM, Ramadan F, Karuza J, Priore RL. Antibiotic use, hospital admissions, and mortality before and after implementing guidelines for nursing home-acquired pneumonia. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2001; 49: 1020–1024.
13. Shindo Y, Sato S, Maruyama E et al. Health-care-associated pneumonia among hospitalized patients in a Japanese community hospital. *Chest* 2009; 135: 633–640.
14. Shorr AF, Zilberberg MD, Micek ST, Kollef MH. Prediction of infection due to antibiotic-resistant bacteria by select risk factors for health care-associated pneumonia. *Arch Intern Med* 2008; 168: 2205–2210.
15. Venditti M, Falcone M, Corrao S, Licata G, Serra P. Outcomes of patients hospitalized with community-acquired, health care-associated, and hospital-acquired pneumonia. *Ann Intern Med* 2009; 150: 19–26.
16. Webster D, Chui L, Tyrrell GJ, Marrie TJ. Health care-associated *Staphylococcus aureus* pneumonia. *Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol* 2007; 18: 181–188.
17. Zilberberg MD, Shorr AF, Micek ST, Mody SH, Kollef MH. Antimicrobial therapy escalation and hospital mortality among patients with health-care-associated pneumonia: a single-center experience. *Chest* 2008; 134: 963–968.
18. Johansson N, Kalin M, Tiveljung-Lindell A, Giske CG, Hedlund J. Etiology of community-acquired pneumonia: increased microbiological yield with new diagnostic methods. *Clin Infect Dis* 2010; 50: 202–209.
19. Ewig S, Torres A, Angeles MM et al. Factors associated with unknown aetiology in patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *Eur Respir J* 2002; 20: 1254–1262.
20. Korppi M. Mixed microbial aetiology of community-acquired pneumonia in children. *APMIS* 2002; 110: 515–522.
21. Gutierrez F, Masia M, Rodriguez JC et al. Community-acquired pneumonia of mixed etiology: prevalence, clinical characteristics, and outcome. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2005; 24: 377–383.
22. de RA, Marcos MA, Garcia E et al. Viral community-acquired pneumonia in nonimmunocompromised adults. *Chest* 2004; 125: 1343–1351.
23. Angeles MM, Camps M, Pumarola T et al. The role of viruses in the aetiology of community-acquired pneumonia in adults. *Antivir Ther* 2006; 11: 351–359.
24. Lauderdale TL, Chang FY, Ben RJ et al. Etiology of community acquired pneumonia among adult patients requiring hospitalization in Taiwan. *Respir Med* 2005; 99: 1079–1086.
25. Wattanatham A, Chaoprasong C, Nunthapisud P et al. Community-acquired pneumonia in southeast Asia: the microbial differences between ambulatory and hospitalized patients. *Chest* 2003; 123: 1512–1519.
26. Saito A, Kohno S, Matsushima T et al. Prospective multicenter study of the causative organisms of community-acquired pneumonia in adults in Japan. *J Infect Chemother* 2006; 12: 63–69.
27. Jennings LC, Anderson TP, Beynon KA et al. Incidence and characteristics of viral community-acquired pneumonia in adults. *Thorax* 2008; 63: 42–48.

28. Song JH, Oh WS, Kang CI *et al.* Epidemiology and clinical outcomes of community-acquired pneumonia in adult patients in Asian countries: a prospective study by the Asian network for surveillance of resistant pathogens. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2008; 31: 107–114.
29. de RA, Ewig S, Garcia E *et al.* Mixed community-acquired pneumonia in hospitalised patients. *Eur Respir J* 2006; 27: 795–800.
30. Centers for Disease Control (CDC). Bacterial coinfections in lung tissue specimens from fatal cases of 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) - United States, May–August 2009. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2009; 58: 1071–1074.
31. Almirall J, Bolibar I, Vidal J *et al.* Epidemiology of community-acquired pneumonia in adults: a population-based study. *Eur Respir J* 2000; 15: 757–763.
32. Anzueto A, Niederman MS, Tillotson GS. Etiology, susceptibility, and treatment of acute bacterial exacerbations of complicated chronic bronchitis in the primary care setting: ciprofloxacin 750 mg b.i.d. versus clarithromycin 500 mg b.i.d. Bronchitis Study Group. *Clin Ther* 1998; 20: 885–900.
33. Blasi F, Cosentini R, Raccanelli R *et al.* Emerging pathogens of community-acquired pneumonia: a two-year prospective study. *J Chemother* 1995; 7 (Suppl 4): 115–116.
34. Bohte R, van Furth R, van den Broek PJ. Aetiology of community-acquired pneumonia: a prospective study among adults requiring admission to hospital. *Thorax* 1995; 50: 543–547.
35. Brandenburg JA, Marrie TJ, Coley CM *et al.* Clinical presentation, processes and outcomes of care for patients with pneumococcal pneumonia. *J Gen Intern Med* 2000; 15: 638–646.
36. El Solh AA, Sikka P, Ramadan F, Davies J. Etiology of severe pneumonia in the very elderly. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001; 163 (3 Pt 1): 645–651.
37. Ginesu F, Pirina P, Deiola G, Ostera S, Mele S, Fois AG. Etiology and therapy of community-acquired pneumonia. *J Chemother* 1997; 9: 285–292.
38. Gomez J, Banos V, Ruiz GJ *et al.* Prospective study of epidemiology and prognostic factors in community-acquired pneumonia. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 1996; 15: 556–560.
39. Gowardman J, Trent L. Severe community acquired pneumonia: a one-year analysis in a tertiary referral intensive care unit. *N Z Med J* 2000; 113: 161–164.
40. Hedlund J, Kalin M, Ortvist A. Recurrence of pneumonia in middle-aged and elderly adults after hospital-treated pneumonia: aetiology and predisposing conditions. *Scand J Infect Dis* 1997; 29: 387–392.
41. Hirani NA, Macfarlane JT. Impact of management guidelines on the outcome of severe community acquired pneumonia. *Thorax* 1997; 52: 17–21.
42. Jokinen C, Heiskanen L, Juvonen H *et al.* Microbial etiology of community-acquired pneumonia in the adult population of 4 municipalities in eastern Finland. *Clin Infect Dis* 2001; 32: 1141–1154.
43. Jones RN, Croco MA, Kugler KC, Pfaller MA, Beach ML. Respiratory tract pathogens isolated from patients hospitalized with suspected pneumonia: frequency of occurrence and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (United States and Canada, 1997). *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2000; 37: 115–125.
44. Leroy O, Vandenbussche C, Coffinier C *et al.* Community-acquired aspiration pneumonia in intensive care units. Epidemiological and prognosis data. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997; 156: 1922–1929.
45. Leroy O, Bosquet C, Vandenbussche C *et al.* Community-acquired pneumonia in the intensive care unit: epidemiological and prognosis data in older people. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1999; 47: 539–546.
46. Logroscino CD, Penza O, Locicero S *et al.* Community-acquired pneumonia in adults: a multicentric observational AIPO study. *Monaldi Arch Chest Dis* 1999; 54: 11–17.
47. Lorente ML, Falguera M, Nogues A, Gonzalez AR, Merino MT, Caballero MR. Diagnosis of pneumococcal pneumonia by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in whole blood: a prospective clinical study. *Thorax* 2000; 55: 133–137.
48. Lim WS, Macfarlane JT, Boswell TC *et al.* Study of community acquired pneumonia aetiology (SCAPA) in adults admitted to hospital: implications for management guidelines. *Thorax* 2001; 56: 296–301.
49. Marrie TJ, Peeling RW, Fine MJ, Singer DE, Coley CM, Kapoor WN. Ambulatory patients with community-acquired pneumonia: the frequency of atypical agents and clinical course. *Am J Med* 1996; 101: 508–515.
50. Meijer A, Dagnelie CF, de Jong JC *et al.* Low prevalence of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* and *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* among patients with symptoms of respiratory tract infections in Dutch general practices. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2000; 16: 1099–1106.
51. Menendez R, Cordoba J, de La CP *et al.* Value of the polymerase chain reaction assay in noninvasive respiratory samples for diagnosis of community-acquired pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999; 159: 1868–1873.
52. Michetti G, Pugliese C, Bamberg M *et al.* Community-acquired pneumonia: is there difference in etiology between hospitalized and out-patients? *Minerva Med* 1995; 86: 341–351.
53. Olaechea PM, Quintana JM, Gallardo MS, Insausti J, Maravi E, Alvarez B. A predictive model for the treatment approach to community-acquired pneumonia in patients needing ICU admission. *Intensive Care Med* 1996; 22: 1294–1300.
54. Ruiz M, Ewig S, Marcos MA *et al.* Etiology of community-acquired pneumonia: impact of age, comorbidity, and severity. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999; 160: 397–405.
55. Socan M, Marinic-Fiser N, Kraigher A, Kotnik A, Logar M. Microbial aetiology of community-acquired pneumonia in hospitalised patients. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 1999; 18: 777–782.
56. Sopena N, Sabria M, Pedro-Botet ML *et al.* Prospective study of community-acquired pneumonia of bacterial etiology in adults. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 1999; 18: 852–858.
57. Steinhoff D, Lode H, Ruckdeschel G *et al.* *Chlamydia pneumoniae* as a cause of community-acquired pneumonia in hospitalized patients in Berlin. *Clin Infect Dis* 1996; 22: 958–964.
58. Garcia-Vidal C, Carratala J, Fernandez-Sabe N *et al.* Aetiology of, and risk factors for, recurrent community-acquired pneumonia. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2009; 15: 1033–1038.
59. Berglund C, Molling P, Sjoberg L, Soderquist B. Predominance of staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec (SCCmec) type IV among methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in a Swedish county and presence of unknown SCCmec types with Pantón-Valentine leukocidin genes. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2005; 11: 447–456.
60. Gillet Y, Issartel B, Vanhems P *et al.* Association between *Staphylococcus aureus* strains carrying gene for Pantón-Valentine leukocidin and highly lethal necrotising pneumonia in young immunocompetent patients. *Lancet* 2002; 359: 753–759.
61. Hageman JC, Uyeki TM, Francis JS *et al.* Severe community-acquired pneumonia due to *Staphylococcus aureus*, 2003–04 influenza season. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2006; 12: 894–899.
62. Lina G, Piemont Y, Godail-Gamot F *et al.* Involvement of Pantón-Valentine leukocidin-producing *Staphylococcus aureus* in primary skin infections and pneumonia. *Clin Infect Dis* 1999; 29: 1128–1132.
63. Davis SL, Perri MB, Donabedian SM *et al.* Epidemiology and outcomes of community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection. *J Clin Microbiol* 2007; 45: 1705–1711.
64. Creer DD, Dilworth JP, Gillespie SH *et al.* Aetiological role of viral and bacterial infections in acute adult lower respiratory tract infection (LRTI) in primary care. *Thorax* 2006; 61: 75–79.

65. Flamaing J, Engelmann I, Joosten E, Van RM, Verhaegen J, Peetermans WE. Viral lower respiratory tract infection in the elderly: a prospective in-hospital study. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2003; 22: 720–725.
66. Johnstone J, Majumdar SR, Fox JD, Marrie TJ. Viral infection in adults hospitalized with community-acquired pneumonia: prevalence, pathogens, and presentation. *Chest* 2008; 134: 1141–1148.
67. Beigel JH, Farrar J, Han AM et al. Avian influenza A (H5N1) infection in humans. *N Engl J Med* 2005; 353: 1374–1385.
68. Chotpitayasunondh T, Ungchusak K, Hanshaoworakul W et al. Human disease from influenza A (H5N1), Thailand, 2004. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2005; 11: 201–209.
69. Miedzinski L. Community-acquired pneumonia: new facets of an old disease – Hantavirus pulmonary syndrome. *Respir Care Clin N Am* 2005; 11: 45–58.
70. Patrick DM, Petric M, Skowronski DM et al. An outbreak of human Coronavirus OC43 infection and serological cross-reactivity with SARS Coronavirus. *Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol* 2006; 17: 330–336.
71. Drosten C, Gunther S, Preiser W et al. Identification of a novel coronavirus in patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 2003; 348: 1967–1976.
72. Chowell G, Bertozzi SM, Colchero MA et al. Severe respiratory disease concurrent with the circulation of H1N1 influenza. *N Engl J Med* 2009; 361: 674–679.
73. Gutierrez F, Masia M, Mirete C et al. The influence of age and gender on the population-based incidence of community-acquired pneumonia caused by different microbial pathogens. *J Infect* 2006; 53: 166–174.
74. Ingarfield SL, Celenza A, Jacobs IG, Riley TV. The bacteriology of pneumonia diagnosed in Western Australian emergency departments. *Epidemiol Infect* 2007; 135: 1376–1383.
75. Eller J, Ede A, Schaberg T, Niederman MS, Mauch H, Lode H. Infective exacerbations of chronic bronchitis: relation between bacteriologic etiology and lung function. *Chest* 1998; 113: 1542–1548.
76. Miravittles M, Espinosa C, Fernandez-Laso E, Martos JA, Maldonado JA, Gallego M. Relationship between bacterial flora in sputum and functional impairment in patients with acute exacerbations of COPD. Study Group of Bacterial Infection in COPD. *Chest* 1999; 116: 40–46.
77. Alamoudi OS. Bacterial infection and risk factors in outpatients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a 2-year prospective study. *Respirology* 2007; 12: 283–287.
78. McManus TE, Marley AM, Baxter N et al. Respiratory viral infection in exacerbations of COPD. *Respir Med* 2008; 102: 1575–1580.
79. Roche N, Kouassi B, Rabbat A, Mounedji A, Lorut C, Huchon G. Yield of sputum microbiological examination in patients hospitalized for exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with purulent sputum. *Respiration* 2007; 74: 19–25.
80. Hutchinson AF, Ghimire AK, Thompson MA et al. A community-based, time-matched, case-control study of respiratory viruses and exacerbations of COPD. *Respir Med* 2007; 101: 2472–2481.
81. Diederer BM, van der Valk PD, Kluytmans JA, Peeters MF, Hendrix R. The role of atypical respiratory pathogens in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Eur Respir J* 2007; 30: 240–244.
82. Ko FW, Ip M, Chan PK et al. A 1-year prospective study of the infectious etiology in patients hospitalized with acute exacerbations of COPD. *Chest* 2007; 131: 44–52.
83. Monso E, Garcia-Aymerich J, Soler N et al. Bacterial infection in exacerbated COPD with changes in sputum characteristics. *Epidemiol Infect* 2003; 131: 799–804.
84. Murphy TF, Brauer AL, Eschberger K et al. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008; 177: 853–860.
85. Lieberman D, Lieberman D, Gelfer Y et al. Pneumonic vs nonpneumonic acute exacerbations of COPD. *Chest* 2002; 122: 1264–1270.
86. Buscho RO, Saxtan D, Shultz PS, Finch E, Mufson MA. Infections with viruses and *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* during exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *J Infect Dis* 1978; 137: 377–383.
87. Sethi S, Evans N, Grant BJ, Murphy TF. New strains of bacteria and exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *N Engl J Med* 2002; 347: 465–471.
88. Angrill J, Agusti C, de CR et al. Bacterial colonisation in patients with bronchiectasis: microbiological pattern and risk factors. *Thorax* 2002; 57: 15–19.
89. Ho PL, Chan KN, Ip MS et al. The effect of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection on clinical parameters in steady-state bronchiectasis. *Chest* 1998; 114: 1594–1598.
90. van der HW, Dijkstra F, Schimmer B et al. Q fever in the Netherlands: an update on the epidemiology and control measures. *Euro Surveill* 2010; 15: 1–4.
91. Spratt BG, Pardee AB. Penicillin-binding proteins and cell shape in *E. coli*. *Nature* 1975; 254: 516–517.
92. Doit C, Loukil C, Fitoussi F, Geslin P, Bingen E. Emergence in France of multiple clones of clinical *Streptococcus pneumoniae* isolates with high-level resistance to amoxicillin. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1999; 43: 1480–1483.
93. Carratala J, Marron A, Fernandez-Sevilla A, Linares J, Gudiol F. Treatment of penicillin-resistant pneumococcal bacteremia in neutropenic patients with cancer. *Clin Infect Dis* 1997; 24: 148–152.
94. Yu VL, Chiou CC, Feldman C et al. An international prospective study of pneumococcal bacteremia: correlation with in vitro resistance, antibiotics administered, and clinical outcome. *Clin Infect Dis* 2003; 37: 230–237.
95. Borg MA, Tiemersma E, Scicluna E et al. Prevalence of penicillin and erythromycin resistance among invasive *Streptococcus pneumoniae* isolates reported by laboratories in the southern and eastern Mediterranean region. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2009; 15: 232–237.
96. Moore MR, Gertz RE Jr, Woodbury RL et al. Population snapshot of emergent *Streptococcus pneumoniae* serotype 19A in the United States, 2005. *J Infect Dis* 2008; 197: 1016–1027.
97. Ardanuy C, Rolo D, Fenoll A, Tarrago D, Calatayud L, Linares J. Emergence of a multidrug-resistant clone (ST320) among invasive serotype 19A pneumococci in Spain. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009; 64: 507–510.
98. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. *Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; eighteenth informational supplement*. CLSI document M100-S18. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2008.
99. Peterson LR. Penicillins for treatment of pneumococcal pneumonia: does in vitro resistance really matter? *Clin Infect Dis* 2006; 42: 224–233.
100. File TM, Garau J, Jacobs MR, Wynne B, Twynholm M, Berkowitz E. Efficacy of a new pharmacokinetically enhanced formulation of amoxicillin/clavulanate (2000/125 mg) in adults with community-acquired pneumonia caused by *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, including penicillin-resistant strains. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2005; 25: 110–119.
101. Weisblum B. Erythromycin resistance by ribosome modification. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1995; 39: 577–585.
102. Syrogiannopoulos GA, Grivea IN, Tait-Kamradt A et al. Identification of an erm(A) erythromycin resistance methylase gene in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* isolated in Greece. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2001; 45: 342–344.
103. Johnston NJ, De Azavedo JC, Kellner JD, Low DE. Prevalence and characterization of the mechanisms of macrolide, lincosamide, and streptogramin resistance in isolates of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1998; 42: 2425–2426.
104. Farrell DJ, Douthwaite S, Morrissey I et al. Macrolide resistance by ribosomal mutation in clinical isolates of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* from the PROTEKT 1999–2000 study. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2003; 47: 1777–1783.

105. Lonks JR, Garau J, Gomez L et al. Failure of macrolide antibiotic treatment in patients with bacteremia due to erythromycin-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Clin Infect Dis* 2002; 35: 556–564.
106. Daneman N, McGeer A, Green K, Low DE. Macrolide resistance in bacteremic pneumococcal disease: implications for patient management. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006; 43: 432–438.
107. Doern GV. Macrolide and ketolide resistance with *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Med Clin North Am* 2006; 90: 1109–1124.
108. Anderson R, Steel HC, Cockeran R et al. Comparison of the effects of macrolides, amoxicillin, ceftriaxone, doxycycline, tobramycin and fluoroquinolones, on the production of pneumolysin by *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in vitro. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2007; 60: 1155–1158.
109. Pan XS, Ambler J, Mehtar S, Fisher LM. Involvement of topoisomerase IV and DNA gyrase as ciprofloxacin targets in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1996; 40: 2321–2326.
110. Blondeau JM, Zhao X, Hansen G, Drlica K. Mutant prevention concentrations of fluoroquinolones for clinical isolates of *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2001; 45: 433–438.
111. de la Campa AG, Ardanuy C, Balsalobre L et al. Changes in fluoroquinolone-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae* after 7-valent conjugate vaccination, Spain. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2009; 15: 905–911.
112. Farrell DJ, Felmingham D, Shackcloth J et al. Non-susceptibility trends and serotype distributions among *Streptococcus pneumoniae* from community-acquired respiratory tract infections and from bacteraemias in the UK and Ireland, 1999 to 2007. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008; 62 (Suppl 2): ii87–ii95.
113. Jansen WT, Verel A, Beitsma M, Verhoef J, Milatovic D. Longitudinal European surveillance study of antibiotic resistance of *Haemophilus influenzae*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2006; 58: 873–877.
114. Peric M, Bozdogan B, Jacobs MR, Appelbaum PC. Effects of an efflux mechanism and ribosomal mutations on macrolide susceptibility of *Haemophilus influenzae* clinical isolates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2003; 47: 1017–1022.
115. Morrissey I, Maher K, Williams L, Shackcloth J, Felmingham D, Reynolds R. Non-susceptibility trends among *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Moraxella catarrhalis* from community-acquired respiratory tract infections in the UK and Ireland, 1999–2007. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008; 62 (Suppl 2): ii97–ii103.
116. Kofteridis DP, Notas G, Maraki S et al. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of 930 *Haemophilus influenzae* clinical strains isolated from the island of Crete, Greece. *Chemotherapy* 2008; 54: 492–498.
117. Critchley IA, Brown SD, Traczewski MM, Tillotson GS, Janjic N. National and regional assessment of antimicrobial resistance among community-acquired respiratory tract pathogens identified in a 2005–2006 U.S. Faropem surveillance study. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2007; 51: 4382–4389.
118. Waites KB, Crabb DM, Bing X, Duffy LB. In vitro susceptibilities to and bactericidal activities of garenoxacin (BMS-284756) and other antimicrobial agents against human mycoplasmas and ureaplasmas. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2003; 47: 161–165.
119. Waites KB, Crabb DM, Duffy LB. In vitro activities of ABT-773 and other antimicrobials against human mycoplasmas. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2003; 47: 39–42.
120. Waites KB, Talkington DF. *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* and its role as a human pathogen. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 2004; 17: 697–728, table.
121. Matsuoka M, Narita M, Okazaki N et al. Characterization and molecular analysis of macrolide-resistant *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* clinical isolates obtained in Japan. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2004; 48: 4624–4630.
122. Morozumi M, Hasegawa K, Kobayashi R et al. Emergence of macrolide-resistant *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* with a 23S rRNA gene mutation. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2005; 49: 2302–2306.
123. Morozumi M, Iwata S, Hasegawa K et al. Increased macrolide resistance of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* in pediatric patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2008; 52: 348–350.
124. Dumke R, von BH, Luck PC, Jacobs E. Occurrence of macrolide-resistant *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* strains in Germany. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2010; 16: 613–6.
125. Peuchant O, Menard A, Renaudin H et al. Increased macrolide resistance of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* in France directly detected in clinical specimens by real-time PCR and melting curve analysis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009; 64: 52–58.
126. Stralin K, Soderquist B. *Staphylococcus aureus* in community-acquired pneumonia. *Chest* 2006; 130: 623.
127. Morens DM, Taubenberger JK, Fauci AS. Predominant role of bacterial pneumonia as a cause of death in pandemic influenza: implications for pandemic influenza preparedness. *J Infect Dis* 2008; 198: 962–970.
128. Nathwani D, Morgan M, Masterton RG et al. Guidelines for UK practice for the diagnosis and management of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) infections presenting in the community. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008; 61: 976–994.
129. Drusano GL, Preston SL, Fowler C, Corrado M, Weisinger B, Kahn J. Relationship between fluoroquinolone area under the curve: minimum inhibitory concentration ratio and the probability of eradication of the infecting pathogen, in patients with nosocomial pneumonia. *J Infect Dis* 2004; 189: 1590–1597.
130. Hutschala D, Skhirtladze K, Zuckermann A et al. In vivo measurement of levofloxacin penetration into lung tissue after cardiac surgery. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2005; 49: 5107–5111.
131. Conte JE Jr, Golden JA, McIver M, Little E, Zurlinden E. Intrapulmonary pharmacodynamics of high-dose levofloxacin in subjects with chronic bronchitis or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2007; 30: 422–427.
132. Bhavnani SM, Forrest A, Hammel JP, Drusano GL, Rubino CM, Ambrose PG. Pharmacokinetics-pharmacodynamics of quinolones against *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2008; 62: 99–101.
133. Aspromonte N, Feola M, Scardovi AB et al. Early diagnosis of congestive heart failure: clinical utility of B-type natriuretic peptide testing associated with Doppler echocardiography. *J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown)* 2006; 7: 406–413.
134. Mikkelsen KV, Bie P, Moller JE, Videbaek L, Villadsen HD, Haghfelt T. Neurohormonal activation and diagnostic value of cardiac peptides in patients with suspected mild heart failure. *Int J Cardiol* 2006; 110: 324–333.
135. Fuat A, Murphy JJ, Hungin AP et al. The diagnostic accuracy and utility of a B-type natriuretic peptide test in a community population of patients with suspected heart failure. *Br J Gen Pract* 2006; 56: 327–333.
136. Van Schayck CP, Loozen JM, Wagena E, Akkermans RP, Wesseling GJ. Detecting patients at a high risk of developing chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in general practice: cross sectional case finding study. *BMJ* 2002; 324: 1370.
137. Broekhuizen BD, Sachs AP, Oostvogels R, Hoes AW, Verheij TJ, Moons KG. The diagnostic value of history and physical examination for COPD in suspected or known cases: a systematic review. *Fam Pract* 2009; 26: 260–268.
138. Hopstaken RM, Muris JW, Knottnerus JA, Kester AD, Rinkens PE, Dinant GJ. Contributions of symptoms, signs, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, and C-reactive protein to a diagnosis of pneumonia in acute lower respiratory tract infection. *Br J Gen Pract* 2003; 53: 358–364.
139. Graffelman AW, le Cessie S, Knuistingh NA, Willemsen FE, Zonderland HM, van den Broek PJ. Can history and exam alone reliably predict pneumonia? *J Fam Pract* 2007; 56: 465–470.

140. Flanders SA, Stein J, Shochat G et al. Performance of a bedside C-reactive protein test in the diagnosis of community-acquired pneumonia in adults with acute cough. *Am J Med* 2004; 116: 529–535.
141. Holm A, Pedersen SS, Nexoe J et al. Procalcitonin versus C-reactive protein for predicting pneumonia in adults with lower respiratory tract infection in primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2007; 57: 555–560.
142. van der MV, Neven AK, van den Broek PJ, Assendelft WJ. Diagnostic value of C reactive protein in infections of the lower respiratory tract: systematic review. *BMJ* 2005; 331: 26.
143. Falk G, Fahey T. C-reactive protein and community-acquired pneumonia in ambulatory care: systematic review of diagnostic accuracy studies. *Fam Pract* 2009; 26: 10–21.
144. Graffelman AW, Knuistingh NA, le CS, Kroes AC, Springer MP, van den Broek PJ. A diagnostic rule for the aetiology of lower respiratory tract infections as guidance for antimicrobial treatment. *Br J Gen Pract* 2004; 54: 20–24.
145. Bauer TT, Ewig S, Marre R, Suttorp N, Welte T. CRB-65 predicts death from community-acquired pneumonia. *J Intern Med* 2006; 260: 93–101.
146. Bont J, Hak E, Hoes AW, Schipper M, Schellevis FG, Verheij TJ. A prediction rule for elderly primary-care patients with lower respiratory tract infections. *Eur Respir J* 2007; 29: 969–975.
147. Bont J, Hak E, Hoes AW, Macfarlane JT, Verheij TJ. Predicting death in elderly patients with community-acquired pneumonia: a prospective validation study reevaluating the CRB-65 severity assessment tool. *Arch Intern Med* 2008; 168: 1465–1468.
148. Bont J. *Lower respiratory tract infections in the elderly; prognostic studies in primary care*. Utrecht, The Netherlands: University Medical Center Utrecht, 2008.
149. Hak E, Bont J, Hoes AW, Verheij TJ. Prognostic factors for serious morbidity and mortality from community-acquired lower respiratory tract infections among the elderly in primary care. *Fam Pract* 2005; 22: 375–380.
150. Seppa Y, Bloigu A, Honkanen PO, Miettinen L, Syrjala H. Severity assessment of lower respiratory tract infection in elderly patients in primary care. *Arch Intern Med* 2001; 161: 2709–2713.
151. Smith SM, Schroeder K, Fahey T. *Over-the-counter (OTC) medications for acute cough in children and adults in ambulatory settings*. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 2010.
152. Smucny J, Becker LA, Glazier R. *Beta2-agonists for acute bronchitis*. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 2010.
153. Ponsioen BP, Hop WC, Vermue NA, Dekhuijzen PN, Bohnen AM. Efficacy of fluticasone on cough: a randomised controlled trial. *Eur Respir J* 2005; 25: 147–152.
154. Smith SM, Fahey T, Smucny J, Becker LA. *Antibiotics for acute bronchitis*. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2010.
155. Little P, Rumsby K, Kelly J et al. Information leaflet and antibiotic prescribing strategies for acute lower respiratory tract infection: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2005; 293: 3029–3035.
156. Ram FS, Rodriguez-Roisin R, Granados-Navarrete A, Garcia-Aymenrich J, Barnes NC. Antibiotics for exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006; 2: CD004403.
157. Bjerre LM, Verheij TJ, Kochen MM. Antibiotics for community acquired pneumonia in adult outpatients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2004; 2: CD002109.
158. Mills GD, Oehley MR, Arrol B. Effectiveness of beta lactam antibiotics compared with antibiotics active against atypical pathogens in non-severe community acquired pneumonia: meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2005; 330: 456.
159. Cooper NJ, Sutton AJ, Abrams KR, Wailoo A, Turner D, Nicholson KG. Effectiveness of neuraminidase inhibitors in treatment and prevention of influenza A and B: systematic review and meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ* 2003; 326: 1235.
160. Jefferson T, Demicheli V, Rivetti D, Jones M, Di PC, Rivetti A. Antivirals for influenza in healthy adults: systematic review. *Lancet* 2006; 367: 303–313.
161. Lim WS, van der Eerden MM, Laing R et al. Defining community acquired pneumonia severity on presentation to hospital: an international derivation and validation study. *Thorax* 2003; 58: 377–382.
162. Ewig S, de RA, Bauer T et al. Validation of predictive rules and indices of severity for community acquired pneumonia. *Thorax* 2004; 59: 421–427.
163. Ewig S, Birkner N, Strauss R et al. New perspectives on community-acquired pneumonia in 388 406 patients. Results from a nationwide mandatory performance measurement programme in healthcare quality. *Thorax* 2009; 64: 1062–1069.
164. Aujesky D, McCausland JB, Whittle J, Obrosky DS, Yealy DM, Fine MJ. Reasons why emergency department providers do not rely on the pneumonia severity index to determine the initial site of treatment for patients with pneumonia. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009; 49: e100–e108.
165. Capelastegui A, Espana PP, Quintana JM et al. Validation of a predictive rule for the management of community-acquired pneumonia. *Eur Respir J* 2006; 27: 151–157.
166. Buising KL, Thursky KA, Black JF et al. A prospective comparison of severity scores for identifying patients with severe community acquired pneumonia: reconsidering what is meant by severe pneumonia. *Thorax* 2006; 61: 419–424.
167. Man SY, Lee N, Ip M et al. Prospective comparison of three predictive rules for assessing severity of community-acquired pneumonia in Hong Kong. *Thorax* 2007; 62: 348–353.
168. Myint PK, Kamath AV, Vowler SL, Maisey DN, Harrison BD. Severity assessment criteria recommended by the British Thoracic Society (BTS) for community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) and older patients. Should SOAR (systolic blood pressure, oxygenation, age and respiratory rate) criteria be used in older people? A compilation study of two prospective cohorts. *Age Ageing* 2006; 35: 286–291.
169. Barlow G, Nathwani D, Davey P. The CURB65 pneumonia severity score outperforms generic sepsis and early warning scores in predicting mortality in community-acquired pneumonia. *Thorax* 2007; 62: 253–259.
170. Chalmers JD, Singanayagam A, Hill AT. Systolic blood pressure is superior to other haemodynamic predictors of outcome in community acquired pneumonia. *Thorax* 2008; 63: 698–702.
171. Labarere J, Stone RA, Scott OD et al. Factors associated with the hospitalization of low-risk patients with community-acquired pneumonia in a cluster-randomized trial. *J Gen Intern Med* 2006; 21: 745–752.
172. Marrie TJ, Huang JQ. Admission is not always necessary for patients with community-acquired pneumonia in risk classes IV and V diagnosed in the emergency room. *Can Respir J* 2007; 14: 212–216.
173. Seymann G, Barger K, Choo S, Sawhney S, Davis D. Clinical judgment versus the Pneumonia Severity Index in making the admission decision. *J Emerg Med* 2008; 34: 261–268.
174. Loeb M, Carusone SC, Goeree R et al. Effect of a clinical pathway to reduce hospitalizations in nursing home residents with pneumonia: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2006; 295: 2503–2510.
175. Hirakata Y, Yanagihara K, Kurihara S et al. Comparison of usefulness of plasma procalcitonin and C-reactive protein measurements for estimation of severity in adults with community-acquired pneumonia. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2008; 61: 170–174.
176. Hohenthal U, Hurme S, Helenius H et al. Utility of C-reactive protein in assessing the disease severity and complications of community-acquired pneumonia. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2009; 15: 1026–1032.
177. Menendez R, Martinez R, Reyes S et al. Biomarkers improve mortality prediction by prognostic scales in community-acquired pneumonia. *Thorax* 2009; 64: 587–591.

178. Thiem U, Niklaus D, Sehlhoff B *et al.* C-reactive protein, severity of pneumonia and mortality in elderly, hospitalised patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *Age Ageing* 2009; 38: 693–697.
179. Okimoto N, Hayashi Y, Ishiga M *et al.* Procalcitonin and severity of community-acquired pneumonia. *J Infect Chemother* 2009; 15: 426–427.
180. Kruger S, Papassotiropoulos J, Marre R *et al.* Pro-atrial natriuretic peptide and pro-vasopressin to predict severity and prognosis in community-acquired pneumonia: results from the German competence network CAPNETZ. *Intensive Care Med* 2007; 33: 2069–2078.
181. Chalmers JD, Singanayagam A, Scally C, Hill AT. Admission D-dimer can identify low-risk patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *Ann Emerg Med* 2009; 53: 633–638.
182. Kruger S, Ewig S, Kunde J *et al.* C-terminal pro-vasopressin (copeptin) in patients with community-acquired pneumonia – influence of antibiotic pre-treatment: results from the German competence network CAPNETZ. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009; 64: 159–162.
183. Christ-Crain M, Breidhardt T, Stolz D *et al.* Use of B-type natriuretic peptide in the risk stratification of community-acquired pneumonia. *J Intern Med* 2008; 264: 166–176.
184. Prat C, Lacoma A, Dominguez J *et al.* Midregional pro-atrial natriuretic peptide as a prognostic marker in pneumonia. *J Infect* 2007; 55: 400–407.
185. Christ-Crain M, Morgenthaler NG, Stolz D *et al.* Pro-adrenomedullin to predict severity and outcome in community-acquired pneumonia [SRCTN04176397]. *Crit Care* 2006; 10: R96.
186. Huang DT, Angus DC, Kellum JA *et al.* Midregional proadrenomedullin as a prognostic tool in community-acquired pneumonia. *Chest* 2009; 136: 823–831.
187. Tejera A, Santolaria F, Diez ML *et al.* Prognosis of community acquired pneumonia (CAP): value of triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells-1 (TREM-1) and other mediators of the inflammatory response. *Cytokine* 2007; 38: 117–123.
188. Christ-Crain M, Stolz D, Jutla S *et al.* Free and total cortisol levels as predictors of severity and outcome in community-acquired pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2007; 176: 913–920.
189. Salluh JJ, Bozza FA, Soares M *et al.* Adrenal response in severe community-acquired pneumonia: impact on outcomes and disease severity. *Chest* 2008; 134: 947–954.
190. Kruger S, Ewig S, Marre R *et al.* Procalcitonin predicts patients at low risk of death from community-acquired pneumonia across all CRB-65 classes. *Eur Respir J* 2008; 31: 349–355.
191. Huang DT, Weissfeld LA, Kellum JA *et al.* Risk prediction with procalcitonin and clinical rules in community-acquired pneumonia. *Ann Emerg Med* 2008; 52: 48–58.
192. Phua J, See KC, Chan YH *et al.* Validation and clinical implications of the IDSA/ATS minor criteria for severe community-acquired pneumonia. *Thorax* 2009; 64: 598–603.
193. Charles PG, Wolfe R, Whitby M *et al.* SMART-COP: a tool for predicting the need for intensive respiratory or vasopressor support in community-acquired pneumonia. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; 47: 375–384.
194. Chalmers JD, Singanayagam A, Hill AT. Predicting the need for mechanical ventilation and/or inotropic support for young adults admitted to the hospital with community-acquired pneumonia. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; 47: 1571–1574.
195. Brown SM, Jones BE, Jephson AR, Dean NC. Validation of the Infectious Disease Society of America/American Thoracic Society 2007 guidelines for severe community-acquired pneumonia. *Crit Care Med* 2009; 37: 3010–3016.
196. Marrie TJ, Shariatzadeh MR. Community-acquired pneumonia requiring admission to an intensive care unit: a descriptive study. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2007; 86: 103–111.
197. Riley PD, Aronsky D, Dean NC. Validation of the 2001 American Thoracic Society criteria for severe community-acquired pneumonia. *Crit Care Med* 2004; 32: 2398–2402.
198. Renaud B, Santin A, Coma E *et al.* Association between timing of intensive care unit admission and outcomes for emergency department patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *Crit Care Med* 2009; 37: 2867–2874.
199. Bruns AH, Oosterheert JJ, Hak E, Hoepelman AI. Usefulness of consecutive C-reactive protein measurements in follow-up of severe community-acquired pneumonia. *Eur Respir J* 2008; 32: 726–732.
200. Wu CL, Lin FJ, Lee SY *et al.* Early evolution of arterial oxygenation in severe community-acquired pneumonia: a prospective observational study. *J Crit Care* 2007; 22: 129–36.
201. Marrie TJ, Durant H, Yates L. Community-acquired pneumonia requiring hospitalization: 5-year prospective study. *Rev Infect Dis* 1989; 11: 586–599.
202. Gleckman R, DeVita J, Hibert D, Pelletier C, Martin R. Sputum gram stain assessment in community-acquired bacteremic pneumonia. *J Clin Microbiol* 1988; 26: 846–849.
203. Benenson RS, Kepner AM, Pyle DN, Cavanaugh S. Selective use of blood cultures in emergency department pneumonia patients. *J Emerg Med* 2007; 33: 1–8.
204. Afshar N, Tabas J, Afshar K, Silbergleit R. Blood cultures for community-acquired pneumonia: are they worthy of two quality measures? A systematic review. *J Hosp Med* 2009; 4: 112–123.
205. Bradley SF. *Staphylococcus aureus* pneumonia: emergence of MRSA in the community. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med* 2005; 26: 643–649.
206. El Solh AA, Akinnusi ME, Pineda LA, Mankowski CR. Diagnostic yield of quantitative endotracheal aspirates in patients with severe nursing home-acquired pneumonia. *Crit Care* 2007; 11: R57.
207. Lagerstrom F, Fredlund H, Holmberg H. Sputum specimens can be obtained from patients with community-acquired pneumonia in primary care. *Scand J Prim Health Care* 2004; 22: 83–86.
208. Garcia-Vazquez E, Marcos MA, Mensa J *et al.* Assessment of the usefulness of sputum culture for diagnosis of community-acquired pneumonia using the PORT predictive scoring system. *Arch Intern Med* 2004; 164: 1807–1811.
209. van der Eerden MM, Vlasplolder F, de Graaff CS, Groot T, Jansen HM, Boersma WG. Value of intensive diagnostic microbiological investigation in low- and high-risk patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2005; 24: 241–249.
210. Musher DM, Montoya R, Wanahita A. Diagnostic value of microscopic examination of Gram-stained sputum and sputum cultures in patients with bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia. *Clin Infect Dis* 2004; 39: 165–169.
211. Signori LG, Ferreira MW, Vieira LC, Muller KR, Mattos WL. Sputum examination in the clinical management of community-acquired pneumonia. *J Bras Pneumol* 2008; 34: 152–158.
212. Anevlavis S, Petroglou N, Tzavaras A *et al.* A prospective study of the diagnostic utility of sputum Gram stain in pneumonia. *J Infect* 2009; 59: 83–89.
213. Uffredi ML, Mangiapan G, Cadranel J, Kac G. Significance of *Aspergillus fumigatus* isolation from respiratory specimens of nongranulocytopenic patients. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2003; 22: 457–462.
214. Ortega L, Sierra M, Dominguez J *et al.* Utility of a pneumonia severity index in the optimization of the diagnostic and therapeutic effort for community-acquired pneumonia. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2005; 37: 657–663.
215. Gutierrez F, Masia M, Rodriguez JC *et al.* Evaluation of the immunochromatographic Binax NOW assay for detection of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* urinary antigen in a prospective study of community-acquired pneumonia in Spain. *Clin Infect Dis* 2003; 36: 286–292.
216. Smith AL, Fiel SB, Mayer-Hamblett N, Ramsey B, Burns JL. Susceptibility testing of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates and clinical response to parenteral antibiotic administration: lack of association in cystic fibrosis. *Chest* 2003; 123: 1495–1502.

217. Marcos MA, Jimenez de Anta MT, de la Bellacasa JP et al. Rapid urinary antigen test for diagnosis of pneumococcal community-acquired pneumonia in adults. *Eur Respir J* 2003; 21: 209–214.
218. Roson B, Fernandez-Sabe N, Carratala J et al. Contribution of a urinary antigen assay (Binax NOW) to the early diagnosis of pneumococcal pneumonia. *Clin Infect Dis* 2004; 38: 222–226.
219. Ishida T, Hashimoto T, Arita M, Tojo Y, Tachibana H, Jinnai M. A 3-year prospective study of a urinary antigen-detection test for *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in community-acquired pneumonia: utility and clinical impact on the reported etiology. *J Infect Chemother* 2004; 10: 359–363.
220. Stralin K, Kaltoft MS, Konradsen HB, Olcen P, Holmberg H. Comparison of two urinary antigen tests for establishment of pneumococcal etiology of adult community-acquired pneumonia. *J Clin Microbiol* 2004; 42: 3620–3625.
221. Andreo F, Dominguez J, Ruiz-Manzano J et al. Usefulness of pneumococcal antigen detection in pleural fluid samples by immunochromatographic assay for diagnosis of pneumococcal pneumonia. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2006; 12: 682–684.
222. Ercis S, Ergin A, Sahin GO, Hascelik G, Uzun O. Validation of urinary antigen test for *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in patients with pneumococcal pneumonia. *Jpn J Infect Dis* 2006; 59: 388–390.
223. Genne D, Sommer R, Kaiser L et al. Analysis of factors that contribute to treatment failure in patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2006; 25: 159–166.
224. Lasocki S, Scanvic A, Le TF et al. Evaluation of the Binax NOW *Streptococcus pneumoniae* urinary antigen assay in intensive care patients hospitalized for pneumonia. *Intensive Care Med* 2006; 32: 1766–1772.
225. Leeming JP, Cartwright K, Morris R, Martin SA, Smith MD. Diagnosis of invasive pneumococcal infection by serotype-specific urinary antigen detection. *J Clin Microbiol* 2005; 43: 4972–4976.
226. Kobashi Y, Yoshida K, Miyashita N, Niki Y, Matsushima T. Evaluating the use of a *Streptococcus pneumoniae* urinary antigen detection kit for the management of community-acquired pneumonia in Japan. *Respiration* 2007; 74: 387–393.
227. Oka H, Ueda A, Watanuki Y et al. The efficacy of high-dose penicillin for community-acquired pneumonia diagnosed by pneumococcal urine antigen test. *J Infect Chemother* 2009; 15: 108–112.
228. Smith MD, Sheppard CL, Hogan A et al. Diagnosis of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* infections in adults with bacteremia and community-acquired pneumonia: clinical comparison of pneumococcal PCR and urinary antigen detection. *J Clin Microbiol* 2009; 47: 1046–1049.
229. Andreo F, Prat C, Ruiz-Manzano J et al. Persistence of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* urinary antigen excretion after pneumococcal pneumonia. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2009; 28: 197–201.
230. Korsgaard J, Moller JK, Kilian M. Antibiotic treatment and the diagnosis of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in lower respiratory tract infections in adults. *Int J Infect Dis* 2005; 9: 274–279.
231. Dominguez J, Andreo F, Blanco S et al. Rapid detection of pneumococcal antigen in serum samples for diagnosing pneumococcal pneumonia. *J Infect* 2006; 53: 21–24.
232. Porcel JM, Ruiz-Gonzalez A, Falguera M et al. Contribution of a pleural antigen assay (Binax NOW) to the diagnosis of pneumococcal pneumonia. *Chest* 2007; 131: 1442–1447.
233. Dirven K, Ieven M, Peeters MF, van der ZA, De SK, Goossens H. Comparison of three Legionella urinary antigen assays during an outbreak of legionellosis in Belgium. *J Med Microbiol* 2005; 54 (Pt 12): 1213–1216.
234. Guerrero C, Toldos CM, Yague G, Ramirez C, Rodriguez T, Segovia M. Comparison of diagnostic sensitivities of three assays (Bartels enzyme immunoassay [EIA], Biotest EIA, and Binax NOW immunochromatographic test) for detection of Legionella pneumophila serogroup I antigen in urine. *J Clin Microbiol* 2004; 42: 467–468.
235. Olsen CW, Elverdal P, Jorgensen CS, Uldum SA. Comparison of the sensitivity of the Legionella urinary antigen EIA kits from Binax and Biotest with urine from patients with infections caused by less common serogroups and subgroups of Legionella. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2009; 28: 817–820.
236. Blanco S, Lacombe A, Prat C et al. Detection of Legionella antigen in nonconcentrated and concentrated urine samples by a new immunochromatographic assay. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2008; 27: 1249–1251.
237. Diederer BM, Bruin JP, Scopes E, Peeters MF, Ijzerman EP. Evaluation of the Oxoid Xpect Legionella test kit for detection of Legionella pneumophila serogroup I antigen in urine. *J Clin Microbiol* 2009; 47: 2272–2274.
238. Alvarez J, Dominguez A, Sabria M et al. Impact of the Legionella urinary antigen test on epidemiological trends in community outbreaks of legionellosis in Catalonia, Spain, 1990–2004. *Int J Infect Dis* 2009; 13: e365–e370.
239. Blazquez RM, Espinosa FJ, Martinez-Toldos CM, Alemany L, Garcia-Orenes MC, Segovia M. Sensitivity of urinary antigen test in relation to clinical severity in a large outbreak of Legionella pneumonia in Spain. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2005; 24: 488–491.
240. von BH, Ewig S, Marre R et al. Community-acquired Legionella pneumonia: new insights from the German competence network for community acquired pneumonia. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; 46: 1356–1364.
241. Steininger C, Redlberger M, Graninger W, Kundi M, Popow-Kraupp T. Near-patient assays for diagnosis of influenza virus infection in adult patients. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2009; 15: 267–273.
242. Falsey AR. Respiratory syncytial virus infection in adults. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med* 2007; 28: 171–181.
243. Beersma MF, Dirven K, van Dam AP, Templeton KE, Claas EC, Goossens H. Evaluation of 12 commercial tests and the complement fixation test for *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*-specific immunoglobulin G (IgG) and IgM antibodies, with PCR used as the “gold standard”. *J Clin Microbiol* 2005; 43: 2277–2285.
244. Talkington DF, Shott S, Fallon MT, Schwartz SB, Thacker WL. Analysis of eight commercial enzyme immunoassay tests for detection of antibodies to *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* in human serum. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol* 2004; 11: 862–867.
245. Nir-Paz R, Michael-Gayego A, Ron M, Block C. Evaluation of eight commercial tests for *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* antibodies in the absence of acute infection. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2006; 12: 685–688.
246. Templeton KE, Scheltinga SA, Graffelman AW et al. Comparison and evaluation of real-time PCR, real-time nucleic acid sequence-based amplification, conventional PCR, and serology for diagnosis of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*. *J Clin Microbiol* 2003; 41: 4366–4371.
247. Martinez MA, Ruiz M, Zunino E, Luchsinger V, Avendano LF. Detection of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* in adult community-acquired pneumonia by PCR and serology. *J Med Microbiol* 2008; 57 (Pt 12): 1491–1495.
248. von BH, Welte T, Marre R, Suttrop N, Luck C, Ewig S. *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* pneumonia revisited within the German Competence Network for Community-acquired pneumonia (CAPNETZ). *BMC Infect Dis* 2009; 9: 62.
249. Hvidsten D, Halvorsen DS, Berald BP, Gutteberg TJ. Chlamydia pneumoniae diagnostics: importance of methodology in relation to timing of sampling. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2009; 15: 42–49.
250. Elverdal P, Jorgensen CS, Uldum SA. Comparison and evaluation of four commercial kits relative to an in-house immunofluorescence test for detection of antibodies against Legionella pneumophila. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2008; 27: 149–152.
251. Johansson N, Kalin M, Giske CG, Hedlund J. Quantitative detection of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* from sputum samples with real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction for etiologic diagnosis of community-acquired pneumonia. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2008; 60: 255–261.

252. Abdeldaim G, Herrmann B, Molling P *et al.* Usefulness of real-time PCR for *lytA*, *ply*, and *Spn9802* on plasma samples for the diagnosis of pneumococcal pneumonia. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2010; 16: 1135–1141.
253. Peters RP, de Boer RF, Schuurman T *et al.* *Streptococcus pneumoniae* DNA load in blood as a marker of infection in patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *J Clin Microbiol* 2009; 47: 3308–3312.
254. Rello J, Lisboa T, Lujan M *et al.* Severity of pneumococcal pneumonia associated with genomic bacterial load. *Chest* 2009; 136: 832–840.
255. Abdeldaim GM, Stralin K, Kirsebom LA, Olcen P, Blomberg J, Herrmann B. Detection of *Haemophilus influenzae* in respiratory secretions from pneumonia patients by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2009; 64: 366–373.
256. Maurin M, Hammer L, Gestin B *et al.* Quantitative real-time PCR tests for diagnostic and prognostic purposes in cases of legionellosis. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2010; 16: 379–384.
257. Raty R, Ronkko E, Kleemola M. Sample type is crucial to the diagnosis of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* pneumonia by PCR. *J Med Microbiol* 2005; 54 (Pt 3): 287–291.
258. Diederer BM, Kluytmans JA, Vandenbroucke-Grauls CM, Peeters MF. Utility of real-time PCR for diagnosis of Legionnaires' disease in routine clinical practice. *J Clin Microbiol* 2008; 46: 671–677.
259. Nilsson AC, Bjorkman P, Persson K. Polymerase chain reaction is superior to serology for the diagnosis of acute *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* infection and reveals a high rate of persistent infection. *BMC Microbiol* 2008; 8: 93.
260. Thurman KA, Walter ND, Schwartz SB *et al.* Comparison of laboratory diagnostic procedures for detection of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* in community outbreaks. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009; 48: 1244–1249.
261. Andre P, Caro V, Njamkepo E, Wendelboe AM, Van RA, Guiso N. Comparison of serological and real-time PCR assays to diagnose *Bordetella pertussis* infection in 2007. *J Clin Microbiol* 2008; 46: 1672–1677.
262. Sotir MJ, Cappozzo DL, Warshauer DM *et al.* Evaluation of polymerase chain reaction and culture for diagnosis of pertussis in the control of a county-wide outbreak focused among adolescents and adults. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007; 44: 1216–1219.
263. Mahony J, Chong S, Merante F *et al.* Development of a respiratory virus panel test for detection of twenty human respiratory viruses by use of multiplex PCR and a fluid microbead-based assay. *J Clin Microbiol* 2007; 45: 2965–2970.
264. van de Pol AC, van Loon AM, Wolfs TF *et al.* Increased detection of respiratory syncytial virus, influenza viruses, parainfluenza viruses, and adenoviruses with real-time PCR in samples from patients with respiratory symptoms. *J Clin Microbiol* 2007; 45: 2260–2262.
265. Ginocchio CC, Zhang F, Manji R *et al.* Evaluation of multiple test methods for the detection of the novel 2009 influenza A (H1N1) during the New York City outbreak. *J Clin Virol* 2009; 45: 191–195.
266. Caram LB, Chen J, Taggart EW *et al.* Respiratory syncytial virus outbreak in a long-term care facility detected using reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction: an argument for real-time detection methods. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2009; 57: 482–485.
267. Berjonn CM, Fishman NO, Joffe MM, Edelstein PH, Metlay JP. Treatment and outcomes for patients with bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2008; 87: 160–166.
268. Cheng AC, Buising KL. Delayed administration of antibiotics and mortality in patients with community-acquired pneumonia 89. *Ann Emerg Med* 2009; 53: 618–624.
269. Fee C, Weber EJ. Identification of 90% of patients ultimately diagnosed with community-acquired pneumonia within four hours of emergency department arrival may not be feasible. *Ann Emerg Med* 2007; 49: 553–559.
270. Friedberg MW, Mehrotra A, Linder JA. Reporting hospitals' antibiotic timing in pneumonia: adverse consequences for patients? *Am J Manag Care* 2009; 15: 137–144.
271. Kanwar M, Brar N, Khatib R, Fakhri MG. Misdiagnosis of community-acquired pneumonia and inappropriate utilization of antibiotics: side effects of the 4-h antibiotic administration rule. *Chest* 2007; 131: 1865–1869.
272. Pines JM, Isserman JA, Hinfey PB. The measurement of time to first antibiotic dose for pneumonia in the emergency department: a white paper and position statement prepared for the American Academy of Emergency Medicine. *J Emerg Med* 2009; 37: 335–340.
273. Bruns AH, Oosterheert JJ, Hustinx WN, Gaillard CA, Hak E, Hoepelman AI. Time for first antibiotic dose is not predictive for the early clinical failure of moderate-severe community-acquired pneumonia. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2009; 28: 913–919.
274. Iannini PB, Paladino JA, Lavin B, Singer ME, Schentag JJ. A case series of macrolide treatment failures in community acquired pneumonia. *J Chemother* 2007; 19: 536–545.
275. Rzeszutek M, Wierzbowski A, Hoban DJ, Conly J, Bishai W, Zhanel GG. A review of clinical failures associated with macrolide-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2004; 24: 95–104.
276. Lodise TP, Kwa A, Cosler L, Gupta R, Smith RP. Comparison of beta-lactam and macrolide combination therapy versus fluoroquinolone monotherapy in hospitalized Veterans Affairs patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2007; 51: 3977–3982.
277. Metersky ML, Ma A, Houck PM, Bratzler DW. Antibiotics for bacteremic pneumonia: Improved outcomes with macrolides but not fluoroquinolones. *Chest* 2007; 131: 466–473.
278. Tessmer A, Welte T, Martus P, Schnoor M, Marre R, Suttrop N. Impact of intravenous {beta}-lactam/macrolide versus {beta}-lactam monotherapy on mortality in hospitalized patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009; 63: 1025–1033.
279. Paul M, Nielsen AD, Gafer-Gvili A *et al.* The need for macrolides in hospitalised community-acquired pneumonia: propensity analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2007; 30: 525–531.
280. File TM Jr. The development of pharmacokinetically enhanced amoxicillin/clavulanate for the management of respiratory tract infections in adults. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2007; 30 (Suppl 2): S131–S134.
281. Petitpretz P, Chidiac C, Soriano F, Garau J, Stevenson K, Rouffiac E. The efficacy and safety of oral pharmacokinetically enhanced amoxicillin-clavulanate 2000/125 mg, twice daily, versus oral amoxicillin-clavulanate 1000/125 mg, three times daily, for the treatment of bacterial community-acquired pneumonia in adults. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2002; 20: 119–129.
282. Siquier B, Sanchez-Alvarez J, Garcia-Mendez E *et al.* Efficacy and safety of twice-daily pharmacokinetically enhanced amoxicillin/clavulanate (2000/125 mg) in the treatment of adults with community-acquired pneumonia in a country with a high prevalence of penicillin-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2006; 57: 536–545.
283. Dartois N, Castaing N, Gandjini H, Cooper A. Tigecycline versus levofloxacin for the treatment of community-acquired pneumonia: European experience. *J Chemother* 2008; 20 (Suppl 1): 28–35.
284. Lin TY, Lin SM, Chen HC *et al.* An open-label, randomized comparison of levofloxacin and amoxicillin/clavulanate plus clarithromycin for the treatment of hospitalized patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *Chang Gung Med J* 2007; 30: 321–332.
285. Portier H, Brambilla C, Garre M, Paganin F, Poubeau P, Zuck P. Moxifloxacin monotherapy compared to amoxicillin-clavulanate plus roxithromycin for nonsevere community-acquired pneumonia in adults with risk factors. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2005; 24: 367–376.
286. Querol-Ribelles JM, Tenias JM, Querol-Borras JM *et al.* Levofloxacin versus ceftriaxone plus clarithromycin in the treatment of adults with community-acquired pneumonia requiring hospitalization. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2005; 25: 75–83.

287. Salkind AR, Cuddy PG, Foxworth JW. Fluoroquinolone treatment of community-acquired pneumonia: a meta-analysis. *Ann Pharmacother* 2002; 36: 1938–1943.
288. Schein J, Janagap-Benson C, Grant R, Sikirica V, Doshi D, Olson W. A comparison of levofloxacin and moxifloxacin use in hospitalized community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) patients in the US: focus on length of stay. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2008; 24: 895–906.
289. Tanaseanu C, Bergallo C, Teglia O et al. Integrated results of 2 phase 3 studies comparing tigecycline and levofloxacin in community-acquired pneumonia 509. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2008; 61: 329–338.
290. Tanaseanu C, Milutinovic S, Calistru PI et al. Efficacy and safety of tigecycline versus levofloxacin for community-acquired pneumonia. *BMC Pulm Med* 2009; 9: 44.
291. Torres A, Muir JF, Corris P et al. Effectiveness of oral moxifloxacin in standard first-line therapy in community-acquired pneumonia. *Eur Respir J* 2003; 21: 135–143.
292. Vardakas KZ, Siempos II, Grammatikos A, Athanassa Z, Korbila IP, Falagas ME. Respiratory fluoroquinolones for the treatment of community-acquired pneumonia: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *CMAJ* 2008; 179: 1269–1277.
293. Van BF, Tulkens PM. Safety profile of the respiratory fluoroquinolone moxifloxacin: comparison with other fluoroquinolones and other antibacterial classes. *Drug Saf* 2009; 32: 359–378.
294. Bergallo C, Jasovich A, Teglia O et al. Safety and efficacy of intravenous tigecycline in treatment of community-acquired pneumonia: results from a double-blind randomized phase 3 comparison study with levofloxacin. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2009; 63: 52–61.
295. Ortiz-Ruiz G, Vetter N, Isaacs R, Carides A, Woods GL, Friedland I. Ertapenem versus ceftriaxone for the treatment of community-acquired pneumonia in adults: combined analysis of two multicentre randomized, double-blind studies. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2004; 53 (Suppl 2): ii59–ii66.
296. Vetter N, Cambronero-Hernandez E, Rohlf J et al. A prospective, randomized, double-blind multicenter comparison of parenteral ertapenem and ceftriaxone for the treatment of hospitalized adults with community-acquired pneumonia. *Clin Ther* 2002; 24: 1770–1785.
297. Yakovlev SV, Stratchounski LS, Woods GL et al. Ertapenem versus cefepime for initial empirical treatment of pneumonia acquired in skilled-care facilities or in hospitals outside the intensive care unit. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2006; 25: 633–641.
298. Kothe H, Bauer T, Marre R, Suttrop N, Welte T, Dalhoff K. Outcome of community-acquired pneumonia: influence of age, residence status and antimicrobial treatment. *Eur Respir J* 2008; 32: 139–146.
299. Murcia JM, Gonzalez-Comeche J, Marin A et al. Clinical response to ertapenem in severe community-acquired pneumonia: a retrospective series in an elderly population. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2009; 15: 1046–1050.
300. Paladino JA, Eubanks DA, Adelman MH, Schentag JJ. Once-daily cefepime versus ceftriaxone for nursing home-acquired pneumonia. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2007; 55: 651–657.
301. von BH, Welte T, Marre R, Suttrop N, Ewig S. Community-acquired pneumonia through Enterobacteriaceae and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: Diagnosis, incidence and predictors. *Eur Respir J* 2010; 35: 598–605.
302. Frei CR, Koeller JM, Burgess DS, Talbert RL, Johnsrud MT. Impact of atypical coverage for patients with community-acquired pneumonia managed on the medical ward: results from the United States Community-Acquired Pneumonia Project. *Pharmacotherapy* 2003; 23: 1167–1174.
303. Lui G, Ip M, Lee N et al. Role of 'atypical pathogens' among adult hospitalized patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *Respirology* 2009; 14: 1098–1105.
304. Shefet D, Robenshtock E, Paul M, Leibovici L. Empiric antibiotic coverage of atypical pathogens for community acquired pneumonia in hospitalized adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005; 2: CD004418.
305. Garcia VE, Mensa J, Martinez JA et al. Lower mortality among patients with community-acquired pneumonia treated with a macrolide plus a beta-lactam agent versus a beta-lactam agent alone. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2005; 24: 190–195.
306. Martinez FJ. Monotherapy versus dual therapy for community-acquired pneumonia in hospitalized patients. *Clin Infect Dis* 2004; 38 (Suppl 4): S328–S340.
307. Weiss K, Low DE, Cortes L et al. Clinical characteristics at initial presentation and impact of dual therapy on the outcome of bacteremic *Streptococcus pneumoniae* pneumonia in adults. *Can Respir J* 2004; 11: 589–593.
308. Alvarez LF. Clinical experience with levofloxacin in the treatment of pneumonia in ICU patients. *J Chemother* 2004; 16 (Suppl 2): 15–17.
309. Erard V, Lamy O, Bochud PY, Bille J, Cometta A, Calandra T. Full-course oral levofloxacin for treatment of hospitalized patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2004; 23: 82–88.
310. Katz E, Larsen LS, Fogarty CM, Hamed K, Song J, Choudhri S. Safety and efficacy of sequential i.v. to p.o. moxifloxacin versus conventional combination therapies for the treatment of community-acquired pneumonia in patients requiring initial i.v. therapy. *J Emerg Med* 2004; 27: 395–405.
311. Lode H, Grossman C, Choudhri S et al. Sequential IV/PO moxifloxacin treatment of patients with severe community-acquired pneumonia. *Respir Med* 2003; 97: 1134–1142.
312. Rodriguez A, Mendia A, Sirvent JM et al. Combination antibiotic therapy improves survival in patients with community-acquired pneumonia and shock. *Crit Care Med* 2007; 35: 1493–1498.
313. Torres A, Garau J, Arvis P et al. Moxifloxacin monotherapy is effective in hospitalized patients with community-acquired pneumonia: the MOTIV study – a randomized clinical trial. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; 46: 1499–1509.
314. Wasserfallen JB, Erard V, Cometta A, Calandra T, Lamy O. Cost-effectiveness of full-course oral levofloxacin in severe community-acquired pneumonia. *Eur Respir J* 2004; 24: 644–648.
315. Romanelli G, Cravarezza P, Pozzi A et al. Carbapenems in the treatment of severe community-acquired pneumonia in hospitalized elderly patients: a comparative study against standard therapy. *J Chemother* 2002; 14: 609–617.
316. Aspa J, Rajas O, de Rodriguez CF et al. Drug-resistant pneumococcal pneumonia: clinical relevance and related factors. *Clin Infect Dis* 2004; 38: 787–798.
317. Bonnard P, Lescure FX, Douadi Y et al. Community-acquired bacteraemic pneumococcal pneumonia in adults: effect of diminished penicillin susceptibility on clinical outcome. *J Infect* 2005; 51: 69–76.
318. Falco V, Almirante B, Jordano Q et al. Influence of penicillin resistance on outcome in adult patients with invasive pneumococcal pneumonia: is penicillin useful against intermediately resistant strains? *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2004; 54: 481–488.
319. Lujan M, Gallego M, Fontanals D, Mariscal D, Rello J. Prospective observational study of bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia: Effect of discordant therapy on mortality. *Crit Care Med* 2004; 32: 625–631.
320. Plouffe JF, Breiman RF, Fields BS et al. Azithromycin in the treatment of Legionella pneumonia requiring hospitalization. *Clin Infect Dis* 2003; 37: 1475–1480.
321. Sabria M, Pedro-Botet ML, Gomez J et al. Fluoroquinolones vs macrolides in the treatment of Legionnaires disease. *Chest* 2005; 128: 1401–1405.
322. Yu VL, Greenberg RN, Zadeikis N et al. Levofloxacin efficacy in the treatment of community-acquired legionellosis. *Chest* 2004; 125: 2135–2139.

323. Capelastegui A, Espana PP, Quintana JM *et al.* Declining length of hospital stay for pneumonia and postdischarge outcomes. *Am J Med* 2008; 121: 845–852.
324. Jasti H, Mortensen EM, Obrosky DS, Kapoor WN, Fine MJ. Causes and risk factors for rehospitalization of patients hospitalized with community-acquired pneumonia. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; 46: 550–556.
325. Yende S, D'Angelo G, Kellum JA *et al.* Inflammatory markers at hospital discharge predict subsequent mortality after pneumonia and sepsis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008; 177: 1242–1247.
326. Chastre J, Wolff M, Fagon JY *et al.* Comparison of 8 vs 15 days of antibiotic therapy for ventilator-associated pneumonia in adults: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 2003; 290: 2588–2598.
327. Christ-Crain M, Stolz D, Bingisser R *et al.* Procalcitonin guidance of antibiotic therapy in community-acquired pneumonia: a randomized trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006; 174: 84–93.
328. Kristoffersen KB, Sogaard OS, Wejse C *et al.* Antibiotic treatment interruption of suspected lower respiratory tract infections based on a single procalcitonin measurement at hospital admission – a randomized trial. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2009; 15: 481–487.
329. Schuetz P, Christ-Crain M, Thomann R *et al.* Effect of procalcitonin-based guidelines vs standard guidelines on antibiotic use in lower respiratory tract infections: the ProHOSP randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2009; 302: 1059–1066.
330. Bouadma L, Luyt CE, Tubach F *et al.* Use of procalcitonin to reduce patients' exposure to antibiotics in intensive care units (PRORATA trial): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2010; 375: 463–474.
331. Nobre V, Harbarth S, Graf JD, Rohner P, Pugin J. Use of procalcitonin to shorten antibiotic treatment duration in septic patients: a randomized trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008; 177: 498–505.
332. Athanassa Z, Makris G, Dimopoulos G, Falagas ME. Early switch to oral treatment in patients with moderate to severe community-acquired pneumonia: a meta-analysis. *Drugs* 2008; 68: 2469–2481.
333. Lee RW, Lindstrom ST. Early switch to oral antibiotics and early discharge guidelines in the management of community-acquired pneumonia. *Respirology* 2007; 12: 111–116.
334. Marras TK, Nopmaneejumruslers C, Chan CK. Efficacy of exclusively oral antibiotic therapy in patients hospitalized with nonsevere community-acquired pneumonia: a retrospective study and meta-analysis. *Am J Med* 2004; 116: 385–393.
335. van der Eerden MM, de Graaff CS, Vlasplolder F, Bronsveld W, Jansen HM, Boersma WG. Evaluation of an algorithm for switching from IV to PO therapy in clinical practice in patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *Clin Ther* 2004; 26: 294–303.
336. Shindo Y, Sato S, Maruyama E *et al.* Implication of clinical pathway care for community-acquired pneumonia in a community hospital: early switch from an intravenous beta-lactam plus a macrolide to an oral respiratory fluoroquinolone. *Intern Med* 2008; 47: 1865–1874.
337. Nathan RV, Rhew DC, Murray C, Bratzler DW, Houck PM, Weingarten SR. In-hospital observation after antibiotic switch in pneumonia: a national evaluation. *Am J Med* 2006; 119: 512–517.
338. Oosterheert JJ, Bonten MJ, Schneider MM *et al.* Effectiveness of early switch from intravenous to oral antibiotics in severe community acquired pneumonia: multicentre randomised trial. *BMJ* 2006; 333: 1193.
339. Mundy LM, Leet TL, Darst K, Schnitzler MA, Dunagan WC. Early mobilization of patients hospitalized with community-acquired pneumonia. *Chest* 2003; 124: 883–889.
340. Confalonieri M, Potena A, Carbone G, Porta RD, Tolley EA, Umberto MG. Acute respiratory failure in patients with severe community-acquired pneumonia. A prospective randomized evaluation of noninvasive ventilation. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999; 160 (5 Pt 1): 1585–1591.
341. Ferrer M, Esquinas A, Leon M, Gonzalez G, Alarcon A, Torres A. Noninvasive ventilation in severe hypoxemic respiratory failure: a randomized clinical trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2003; 168: 1438–1444.
342. Antonelli M, Conti G, Esquinas A *et al.* A multiple-center survey on the use in clinical practice of noninvasive ventilation as a first-line intervention for acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Crit Care Med* 2007; 35: 18–25.
343. Bulow HH, Thorsager B. Non-invasive ventilation in do-not-intubate patients: five-year follow-up on a two-year prospective, consecutive cohort study. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2009; 53: 1153–1157.
344. Confalonieri M, Urbino R, Potena A *et al.* Hydrocortisone infusion for severe community-acquired pneumonia: a preliminary randomized study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005; 171: 242–248.
345. Gorman SK, Slavik RS, Marin J. Corticosteroid treatment of severe community-acquired pneumonia. *Ann Pharmacother* 2007; 41: 1233–1237.
346. Salluh JJ, Povoja P, Soares M, Castro-Faria-Neto HC, Bozza FA, Bozza PT. The role of corticosteroids in severe community-acquired pneumonia: a systematic review. *Crit Care* 2008; 12: R76.
347. Simposi II, Vardakas KZ, Kopterides P, Falagas ME. Adjunctive therapies for community-acquired pneumonia: a systematic review. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2008; 62: 661–668.
348. Adams R, Ruffin R, Campbell D. The value of the lipid-laden macrophage index in the assessment of aspiration pneumonia. *Aust N Z J Med* 1997; 27: 550–553.
349. Chen JH, Lamberg JL, Chen YC *et al.* Occurrence and treatment of suspected pneumonia in long-term care residents dying with advanced dementia. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2006; 54: 290–295.
350. DeToledo JC, Lowe MR, Gonzalez J, Haddad H. Risk of aspiration pneumonia after an epileptic seizure: a retrospective analysis of 1634 adult patients. *Epilepsy Behav* 2004; 5: 593–595.
351. Kadowaki M, Demura Y, Mizuno S *et al.* Reappraisal of clindamycin IV monotherapy for treatment of mild-to-moderate aspiration pneumonia in elderly patients. *Chest* 2005; 127: 1276–1282.
352. Mier L, Dreyfuss D, Darchy B *et al.* Is penicillin G an adequate initial treatment for aspiration pneumonia? A prospective evaluation using a protected specimen brush and quantitative cultures. *Intensive Care Med* 1993; 19: 279–284.
353. Mylotte JM, Goodnough S, Naughton BJ. Pneumonia versus aspiration pneumonitis in nursing home residents: diagnosis and management. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2003; 51: 17–23.
354. Reza SM, Huang JQ, Marrie TJ. Differences in the features of aspiration pneumonia according to site of acquisition: community or continuing care facility. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2006; 54: 296–302.
355. Teramoto S, Fukuchi Y, Sasaki H, Sato K, Sekizawa K, Matsuse T. High incidence of aspiration pneumonia in community- and hospital-acquired pneumonia in hospitalized patients: a multicenter, prospective study in Japan. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2008; 56: 577–579.
356. Allewelt M, Schuler P, Bolcskei PL, Mauch H, Lode H. Ampicillin + sulbactam vs clindamycin +/- cephalosporin for the treatment of aspiration pneumonia and primary lung abscess. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2004; 10: 163–170.
357. Bartlett JG, Gorbach SL. Treatment of aspiration pneumonia and primary lung abscess. Penicillin G vs clindamycin. *JAMA* 1975; 234: 935–937.
358. Fernandez-Sabe N, Carratala J, Dorca J *et al.* Efficacy and safety of sequential amoxicillin-clavulanate in the treatment of anaerobic lung infections. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2003; 22: 185–187.
359. Gudiol F, Manresa F, Pallares R *et al.* Clindamycin vs penicillin for anaerobic lung infections. High rate of penicillin failures associated with penicillin-resistant *Bacteroides melaninogenicus*. *Arch Intern Med* 1990; 150: 2525–2529.

360. Perlino CA. Metronidazole vs clindamycin treatment of anaerobic pulmonary infection. Failure of metronidazole therapy. *Arch Intern Med* 1981; 141: 1424–1427.
361. Ott SR, Allewelt M, Lorenz J, Reimnitz P, Lode H. Moxifloxacin vs ampicillin/sulbactam in aspiration pneumonia and primary lung abscess. *Infection* 2008; 36: 23–30.
362. Chen CZ, Fan PS, Lin CC, Lee CH, Hsiue TR. Repeated pneumonia severity index measurement after admission increases its predictive value for mortality in severe community-acquired pneumonia. *J Formos Med Assoc* 2009; 108: 219–223.
363. Menendez R, Cavalcanti M, Reyes S et al. Markers of treatment failure in hospitalised community acquired pneumonia. *Thorax* 2008; 63: 447–452.
364. Chalmers JD, Singanayagam A, Hill AT. C-reactive protein is an independent predictor of severity in community-acquired pneumonia. *Am J Med* 2008; 121: 219–225.
365. Coelho L, Povoia P, Almeida E et al. Usefulness of C-reactive protein in monitoring the severe community-acquired pneumonia clinical course. *Crit Care* 2007; 11: R92.
366. Lieberman D, Shmarkov O, Gelfer Y, Varshavsky R, Lieberman DV. Prevalence and clinical significance of fever in acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2003; 22: 75–78.
367. Allegra L, Blasi F, Diano P et al. Sputum color as a marker of acute bacterial exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respir Med* 2005; 99: 742–747.
368. Soler N, Agusti C, Angrill J, Puig DIB, Torres A. Bronchoscopic validation of the significance of sputum purulence in severe exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax* 2007; 62: 29–35.
369. Brusse-Keizer MG, Grotenhuis AJ, Kerstjens HA et al. Relation of sputum colour to bacterial load in acute exacerbations of COPD. *Respir Med* 2009; 103: 601–606.
370. Burley CJ, Masterton RG, Lovell DP. Indicators of bacterial infection in patients with acute exacerbation of chronic bronchitis for application in clinical trials of antibacterial drugs. *J Infect* 2007; 55: 226–232.
371. Stolz D, Christ-Crain M, Bingisser R et al. Antibiotic treatment of exacerbations of COPD: a randomized, controlled trial comparing procalcitonin-guidance with standard therapy. *Chest* 2007; 131: 9–19.
372. Rohde G, Wiethage A, Borg I et al. Respiratory viruses in exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease requiring hospitalisation: a case-control study. *Thorax* 2003; 58: 37–42.
373. Lieberman D, Lieberman D, Ben-Yaakov M et al. Serological evidence of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* infection in acute exacerbation of COPD. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2002; 44: 1–6.
374. Groenewegen KH, Wouters EF. Bacterial infections in patients requiring admission for an acute exacerbation of COPD: a 1-year prospective study. *Respir Med* 2003; 97: 770–777.
375. Ko FW, Ng TK, Li TS et al. Sputum bacteriology in patients with acute exacerbations of COPD in Hong Kong. *Respir Med* 2005; 99: 454–460.
376. Rosell A, Monso E, Soler N et al. Microbiologic determinants of exacerbation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Arch Intern Med* 2005; 165: 891–897.
377. Lin SH, Kuo PH, Hsueh PR, Yang PC, Kuo SH. Sputum bacteriology in hospitalized patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in Taiwan with an emphasis on *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Respirology* 2007; 12: 81–87.
378. Montero M, Dominguez M, Orozco-Levi M, Salvado M, Knobel H. Mortality of COPD patients infected with multi-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: a case and control study. *Infection* 2009; 37: 16–19.
379. Lode H, Allewelt M, Balk S et al. A prediction model for bacterial etiology in acute exacerbations of COPD. *Infection* 2007; 35: 143–149.
380. Garcia-Vidal C, Almagro P, Romani V et al. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in patients hospitalised for COPD exacerbation: a prospective study. *Eur Respir J* 2009; 34: 1072–1078.
381. Kahn JB, Khashab M, Ambruzs M. Study entry microbiology in patients with acute bacterial exacerbation of chronic bronchitis in a clinical trial stratifying by disease severity. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2007; 23: 1–7.
382. Murphy TF, Brauer AL, Grant BJ, Sethi S. Moraxella catarrhalis in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: burden of disease and immune response. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005; 172: 195–199.
383. Murphy TF, Brauer AL, Schiffmacher AT, Sethi S. Persistent colonization by *Haemophilus influenzae* in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2004; 170: 266–272.
384. Papi A, Bellettato CM, Braccioni F et al. Infections and airway inflammation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease severe exacerbations. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006; 173: 1114–1121.
385. Wilkinson TM, Patel IS, Wilks M, Donaldson GC, Wedzicha JA. Airway bacterial load and FEV1 decline in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2003; 167: 1090–1095.
386. Sethi S, Sethi R, Eschberger K et al. Airway bacterial concentrations and exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2007; 176: 356–361.
387. Sethi S, Wrona C, Grant BJ, Murphy TF. Strain-specific immune response to *Haemophilus influenzae* in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2004; 169: 448–453.
388. Aaron SD, Kottachchi D, Ferris WJ et al. Sputum versus bronchoscopy for diagnosis of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms in cystic fibrosis. *Eur Respir J* 2004; 24: 631–637.
389. Wilson R, Langan C, Ball P, Bateman K, Pypstra R. Oral gemifloxacin once daily for 5 days compared with sequential therapy with i.v. ceftriaxone/oral cefuroxime (maximum of 10 days) in the treatment of hospitalized patients with acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *Respir Med* 2003; 97: 242–249.
390. Martinez FJ, Grossman RF, Zadeikis N et al. Patient stratification in the management of acute bacterial exacerbation of chronic bronchitis: the role of levofloxacin 750 mg. *Eur Respir J* 2005; 25: 1001–1010.
391. Dimopoulos G, Siempos II, Korbila IP, Manta KG, Falagas ME. Comparison of first-line with second-line antibiotics for acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis: a metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. *Chest* 2007; 132: 447–455.
392. Ferrer M, Ioanas M, Arancibia F, Marco MA, de la Bellacasa JP, Torres A. Microbial airway colonization is associated with noninvasive ventilation failure in exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Crit Care Med* 2005; 33: 2003–2009.
393. Bilton D, Henig N, Morrissey B, Gotfried M. Addition of inhaled tobramycin to ciprofloxacin for acute exacerbations of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in adult bronchiectasis. *Chest* 2006; 130: 1503–1510.
394. Evans DJ, Bara AI, Greenstone M. Prolonged antibiotics for purulent bronchiectasis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2003; 4: CD001392.
395. Cogo R, Ramponi A, Scivoletto G, Rippoli R. Prophylaxis for acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis using an antibacterial sublingual vaccine obtained through mechanical lysis: a clinical and pharmacoeconomic study. *Acta Biomed Ateneo Parmense* 2003; 74: 81–87.
396. Foxwell AR, Cripps AW, Dear KB. *Haemophilus influenzae* oral whole cell vaccination for preventing acute exacerbations of chronic bronchitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2003; 3: CD001958.
397. Steurer-Stey C, Bachmann LM, Steurer J, Tramer MR. Oral purified bacterial extracts in chronic bronchitis and COPD: systematic review. *Chest* 2004; 126: 1645–1655.
398. Tricarico D, Varricchio A, D'Ambrosio S, Ascione E, Motta G. Prevention of recurrent upper respiratory tract infections in a

- community of cloistered nuns using a new immunostimulating bacterial lysate. A randomized, double-blind clinical trial. *Arzneimittelforschung* 2004; 54: 57–63.
399. Black P, Staykova T, Chacko E, Ram FS, Poole P. Prophylactic antibiotic therapy for chronic bronchitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2003; 1: CD004105.
 400. Smucny J, Fahey T, Becker L, Glazier R. Antibiotics for acute bronchitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2004; 4: CD000245.
 401. Sethi S, Jones PW, Theron MS *et al.* Pulsed moxifloxacin for the prevention of exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomized controlled trial. *Respir Res* 2010; 11: 10.
 402. Seemungal TA, Wilkinson TM, Hurst JR, Perera WR, Sapsford RJ, Wedzicha JA. Long-term erythromycin therapy is associated with decreased chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations 86. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008; 178: 1139–1147.
 403. Drobnic ME, Sune P, Montoro JB, Ferrer A, Orrriols R. Inhaled tobramycin in non-cystic fibrosis patients with bronchiectasis and chronic bronchial infection with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Ann Pharmacother* 2005; 39: 39–44.
 404. Barker AF, Couch L, Fiel SB *et al.* Tobramycin solution for inhalation reduces sputum *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* density in bronchiectasis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000; 162 (2 Pt 1): 481–485.
 405. Cymbala AA, Edmonds LC, Bauer MA *et al.* The disease-modifying effects of twice-weekly oral azithromycin in patients with bronchiectasis. *Treat Respir Med* 2005; 4: 117–122.
 406. Anwar GA, Bourke SC, Afolabi G, Middleton P, Ward C, Rutherford RM. Effects of long-term low-dose azithromycin in patients with non-CF bronchiectasis 232. *Respir Med* 2008; 102: 1494–1496.
 407. Nordstrom BL, Sung I, Suter P, Szeke P. Risk of pneumonia and other complications of influenza-like illness in patients treated with oseltamivir. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2005; 21: 761–768.
 408. Poole PJ, Black PN. Mucolytic agents for chronic bronchitis or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006; 3: CD001287.
 409. Douglas RM, Hemila H, D'Souza R, Chalker EB, Treacy B. Vitamin C for preventing and treating the common cold. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2004; 4: CD000980.
 410. Barrett BP, Brown RL, Locken K, Maberry R, Bobula JA, D'Alessio D. Treatment of the common cold with unrefined echinacea. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2002; 137: 939–946.
 411. McElhaney JE, Goel V, Toane B, Hooten J, Shan JJ. Efficacy of COLD-fx in the prevention of respiratory symptoms in community-dwelling adults: a randomized, double-blinded, placebo controlled trial. *J Altern Complement Med* 2006; 12: 153–157.
 412. Sjogren P, Nilsson E, Forsell M, Johansson O, Hoogstraate J. A systematic review of the preventive effect of oral hygiene on pneumonia and respiratory tract infection in elderly people in hospitals and nursing homes: effect estimates and methodological quality of randomized controlled trials. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2008; 56: 2124–2130.
 413. Awano S, Ansai T, Takata Y *et al.* Oral health and mortality risk from pneumonia in the elderly. *J Dent Res* 2008; 87: 334–339.
 414. Bassim CV, Gibson G, Ward T, Paphides BM, Denucci DJ. Modification of the risk of mortality from pneumonia with oral hygiene care. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2008; 56: 1601–1607.
 415. Singh S, Amin AV, Loke YK. Long-term use of inhaled corticosteroids and the risk of pneumonia in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a meta-analysis. *Arch Intern Med* 2009; 169: 219–229.
 416. Almirall J, Bolibar I, Serra-Prat M *et al.* New evidence of risk factors for community-acquired pneumonia: a population-based study. *Eur Respir J* 2008; 31: 1274–1284.
 417. Ernst P, Gonzalez AV, Brassard P, Suissa S. Inhaled corticosteroid use in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and the risk of hospitalization for pneumonia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2007; 176: 162–166.
 418. Drummond MB, Dasenbrook EC, Pitz MW, Murphy DJ, Fan E. Inhaled corticosteroids in patients with stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2008; 300: 2407–2416.
 419. Sin DD, Tashkin D, Zhang X *et al.* Budesonide and the risk of pneumonia: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. *Lancet* 2009; 374: 712–719.
 420. Mortensen EM, Pugh MJ, Copeland LA *et al.* Impact of statins and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors on mortality of subjects hospitalized with pneumonia. *Eur Respir J* 2008; 31: 611–617.
 421. Chalmers JD, Singanayagam A, Murray MP, Hill AT. Prior statin use is associated with improved outcomes in community-acquired pneumonia. *Am J Med* 2008; 121: 1002–1007.
 422. Dublin S, Jackson ML, Nelson JC, Weiss NS, Larson EB, Jackson LA. Statin use and risk of community acquired pneumonia in older people: population based case-control study. *BMJ* 2009; 338: b2137.
 423. Schlienger RG, Fedson DS, Jick SS, Jick H, Meier CR. Statins and the risk of pneumonia: a population-based, nested case-control study. *Pharmacotherapy* 2007; 27: 325–332.
 424. Thomsen RW, Riis A, Kornum JB, Christensen S, Johnsen SP, Sorensen HT. Preadmission use of statins and outcomes after hospitalization with pneumonia: population-based cohort study of 29,900 patients. *Arch Intern Med* 2008; 168: 2081–2087.
 425. Tleyjeh IM, Kashour T, Hakim FA *et al.* Statins for the prevention and treatment of infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Intern Med* 2009; 169: 1658–1667.
 426. Hak E, Buskens E, van Essen GA *et al.* Clinical effectiveness of influenza vaccination in persons younger than 65 years with high-risk medical conditions: the PRISMA study. *Arch Intern Med* 2005; 165: 274–280.
 427. Hak E, Hoes AW, Grobbee DE *et al.* Conventional influenza vaccination is not associated with complications in working-age patients with asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Epidemiol* 2003; 157: 692–700.
 428. Schembri S, Morant S, Winter JH, MacDonald TM. Influenza but not pneumococcal vaccination protects against all-cause mortality in patients with COPD. *Thorax* 2009; 64: 567–572.
 429. Ortvist A, Granath F, Asklund J, Hedlund J. Influenza vaccination and mortality: prospective cohort study of the elderly in a large geographical area. *Eur Respir J* 2007; 30: 414–422.
 430. Jackson LA, Jackson ML, Nelson JC, Neuzil KM, Weiss NS. Evidence of bias in estimates of influenza vaccine effectiveness in seniors. *Int J Epidemiol* 2006; 35: 337–344.
 431. Eurich DT, Marrie TJ, Johnstone J, Majumdar SR. Mortality reduction with influenza vaccine in patients with pneumonia outside “flu” season: pleiotropic benefits or residual confounding? *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008; 178: 527–533.
 432. Jackson ML, Nelson JC, Weiss NS, Neuzil KM, Barlow W, Jackson LA. Influenza vaccination and risk of community-acquired pneumonia in immunocompetent elderly people: a population-based, nested case-control study 181. *Lancet* 2008; 372: 398–405.
 433. Jefferson T, Di PC, Al-Ansary LA, Ferroni E, Thorning S, Thomas RE. Vaccines for preventing influenza in the elderly. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010; 2: CD004876.
 434. Govaert TM, Thijs CT, Masurel N, Sprenger MJ, Dinant GJ, Knottnerus JA. The efficacy of influenza vaccination in elderly individuals. A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *JAMA* 1994; 272: 1661–1665.
 435. Fiore AE, Shay DK, Haber P *et al.* Prevention and control of influenza. Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), 2007. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2007; 56 (RR-6): 1–54.

436. Wang Z, Tobler S, Roayaei J, Eick A. Live attenuated or inactivated influenza vaccines and medical encounters for respiratory illnesses among US military personnel. *JAMA* 2009; 301: 945–953.
437. Monto AS, Ohmit SE, Petrie JG et al. Comparative efficacy of inactivated and live attenuated influenza vaccines. *N Engl J Med* 2009; 361: 1260–1267.
438. Nichol K, D'Heilly S, Ehlinger EP. Influenza vaccination among college and university students: impact on influenzalike illness, health care use, and impaired school performance. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2008; 162: 1113–1118.
439. Demicheli V, Rivetti D, Deeks JJ, Jefferson TO. Vaccines for preventing influenza in healthy adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2004; 3: CD001269.
440. Thomas RE, Jefferson T, Demicheli V, Rivetti D. Influenza vaccination for healthcare workers who work with the elderly. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006; 3: CD005187.
441. Thomas RE, Jefferson T, Lasserson TJ. Influenza vaccination for healthcare workers who work with the elderly. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010; 2: CD005187.
442. van Kessel DA, van Velzen-Blad H, van den Bosch JM, Rijkers GT. Impaired pneumococcal antibody response in bronchiectasis of unknown aetiology. *Eur Respir J* 2005; 25: 482–489.
443. Ortvist A, Henckaerts I, Hedlund J, Poolman J. Non-response to specific serotypes likely cause for failure to 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine in the elderly. *Vaccine* 2007; 25: 2445–2450.
444. Abraham-Van Parijs B. Review of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in adults: implications on clinical development. *Vaccine* 2004; 22: 1362–1371.
445. Musher DM, Rueda AM, Nahm MH, Graviss EA, Rodriguez-Barradas MC. Initial and subsequent response to pneumococcal polysaccharide and protein-conjugate vaccines administered sequentially to adults who have recovered from pneumococcal pneumonia. *J Infect Dis* 2008; 198: 1019–1027.
446. de Roux A, Schmole-Thoma B, Siber GR et al. Comparison of pneumococcal conjugate polysaccharide and free polysaccharide vaccines in elderly adults: conjugate vaccine elicits improved antibacterial immune responses and immunological memory. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; 46: 1015–1023.
447. Kyaw MH, Lynfield R, Schaffner W et al. Effect of introduction of the pneumococcal conjugate vaccine on drug-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *N Engl J Med* 2006; 354: 1455–1463.
448. Whitney CG, Farley MM, Hadler J et al. Decline in invasive pneumococcal disease after the introduction of protein-polysaccharide conjugate vaccine. *N Engl J Med* 2003; 348: 1737–1746.
449. Grijalva CG, Nuorti JP, Arbogast PG, Martin SW, Edwards KM, Griffin MR. Decline in pneumonia admissions after routine childhood immunisation with pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in the USA: a time-series analysis. *Lancet* 2007; 369: 1179–86.
450. Nelson JC, Jackson M, Yu O et al. Impact of the introduction of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine on rates of community acquired pneumonia in children and adults 211. *Vaccine* 2008; 26: 4947–4954.
451. Melegaro A, Edmunds WJ. The 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine. Part I. Efficacy of PPV in the elderly: a comparison of meta-analyses. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2004; 19: 353–363.
452. Dear K, Holden J, Andrews R, Tatham D. Vaccines for preventing pneumococcal infection in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2003; 4: CD000422.
453. Conaty S, Watson L, Dinnes J, Waugh N. The effectiveness of pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccines in adults: a systematic review of observational studies and comparison with results from randomised controlled trials. *Vaccine* 2004; 22: 3214–3224.
454. Moberley SA, Holden J, Tatham DP, Andrews RM. Vaccines for preventing pneumococcal infection in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008; 1: CD000422.
455. Alfageme I, Vazquez R, Reyes N et al. Clinical efficacy of anti-pneumococcal vaccination in patients with COPD. *Thorax* 2006; 61: 189–195.
456. Jackson LA, Neuzil KM, Yu O et al. Effectiveness of pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine in older adults. *N Engl J Med* 2003; 348: 1747–1755.
457. Christenson B, Hedlund J, Lundbergh P, Ortvist A. Additive preventive effect of influenza and pneumococcal vaccines in elderly persons. *Eur Respir J* 2004; 23: 363–368.
458. Vila-Corcoles A, Ochoa-Gondar O, Hospital I et al. Protective effects of the 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine in the elderly population: the EVAN-65 study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006; 43: 860–868.
459. Maruyama T, Taguchi O, Niederman MS et al. Efficacy of 23-valent pneumococcal vaccine in preventing pneumonia and improving survival in nursing home residents: double blind, randomised and placebo controlled trial. *BMJ* 2010; 340: c1004.
460. Christenson B, Pauksen K, Sylvan SP. Effect of influenza and pneumococcal vaccines in elderly persons in years of low influenza activity. *Viral J* 2008; 5: 52.
461. Ochoa-Gondar O, Vila-Corcoles A, Ansa X et al. Effectiveness of pneumococcal vaccination in older adults with chronic respiratory diseases: results of the EVAN-65 study. *Vaccine* 2008; 26: 1955–1962.
462. Lee TA, Weaver FM, Weiss KB. Impact of pneumococcal vaccination on pneumonia rates in patients with COPD and asthma. *J Gen Intern Med* 2007; 22: 62–67.
463. Skull SA, Andrews RM, Byrnes GB et al. Prevention of community-acquired pneumonia among a cohort of hospitalized elderly: benefit due to influenza and pneumococcal vaccination not demonstrated. *Vaccine* 2007; 25: 4631–4640.
464. Spindler C, Hedlund J, Jasir A, Normark BH, Ortvist A. Effects of a large-scale introduction of the pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine among elderly persons in Stockholm, Sweden. *Vaccine* 2008; 26: 5541–5546.
465. Mooney JD, Weir A, McMenemy J et al. The impact and effectiveness of pneumococcal vaccination in Scotland for those aged 65 and over during winter 2003/2004. *BMC Infect Dis* 2008; 8: 53.
466. Fisman DN, Abrutyn E, Spaude KA, Kim A, Kirchner C, Daley J. Prior pneumococcal vaccination is associated with reduced death, complications, and length of stay among hospitalized adults with community-acquired pneumonia. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006; 42: 1093–1101.
467. Mykietiuk A, Carratala J, Dominguez A et al. Effect of prior pneumococcal vaccination on clinical outcome of hospitalized adults with community-acquired pneumococcal pneumonia. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2006; 25: 457–462.
468. Melegaro A, Edmunds WJ. The 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine. Part II. A cost-effectiveness analysis for invasive disease in the elderly in England and Wales. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2004; 19: 365–375.
469. Mangtani P, Roberts JA, Hall AJ, Cutts FT. An economic analysis of a pneumococcal vaccine programme in people aged over 64 years in a developed country setting. *Int J Epidemiol* 2005; 34: 565–574.
470. McIntosh ED, Conway P, Willingham J, Hollingsworth R, Lloyd A. Pneumococcal pneumonia in the UK – how herd immunity affects the cost-effectiveness of 7-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV). *Vaccine* 2005; 23: 1739–1745.
471. Jackson LA, Neuzil KM, Whitney CG et al. Safety of varying dosages of 7-valent pneumococcal protein conjugate vaccine in seniors previously vaccinated with 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine. *Vaccine* 2005; 23: 3697–3703.
472. Torling J, Hedlund J, Konradsen HB, Ortvist A. Revaccination with the 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine in middle-aged

- and elderly persons previously treated for pneumonia. *Vaccine* 2003; 22: 96–103.
473. Waites KB, Canupp KC, Chen YY, DeVivo MJ, Nahm MH. Revaccination of adults with spinal cord injury using the 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine. *J Spinal Cord Med* 2008; 31: 53–59.
474. Dexter PR, Perkins SM, Maharry KS, Jones K, McDonald CJ. Inpatient computer-based standing orders vs physician reminders to increase influenza and pneumococcal vaccination rates: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 2004; 292: 2366–2371.
475. Jacobson VJ, Szilagyi P. Patient reminder and patient recall systems to improve immunization rates. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005; 3: CD003941.
476. deHart MP, Salinas SK, Barnette LJ Jr et al. Project protect: pneumococcal vaccination in Washington State nursing homes. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2005; 6: 91–96.
477. Jha AK, Wright SM, Perlin JB. Performance measures, vaccinations, and pneumonia rates among high-risk patients in Veterans Administration health care. *Am J Public Health* 2007; 97: 2167–2172.