

O0744 Association between MIC values of carbapenems and flow cytometric antimicrobial test (FAST): a multivariate analysis

Rita Teixeira-Santos², Rosário Gomes¹, Sofia Costa-de-Oliveira², Inês Martins-Oliveira², Ana Silva-Dias², Acácio Gonçalves Rodrigues², Cidália Pina-Vaz^{*2}

¹Faculty of Medicine, University of Porto, Department of Microbiology, ²FASTinov, SA

Background: Carbapenems play a critical role in antibiotic armamentarium. Unfortunately emergency of multidrug-resistant pathogens seriously threatens this class of lifesaving drugs. MIC determinations are considered important regarding both, treatment infection and infection control proposes. This study evaluate a novel AST method based on flow cytometry regarding MIC determination by broth microdilution method.

Materials/methods: Ninety-seven Gram-negative clinical isolates and 8 recommended reference strains, including 36 *Enterobacteriaceae*, 36 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and 33 *Acinetobacter* sp., with different phenotypes to meropenem (MRP) and imipenem (IMI), were studied. Aerobic BD blood cultures bottles were spiked with bacteria and human fresh blood (Cambridge Bioscience), and incubated until a positive flag. A protocol for microorganism extraction was applied; cells were incubated during 1h, at 37°C, with concentrations ranging between 1 and 8 µg/mL of meropenem (MRP) or imipenem (IMI) and a previous optimized fluorescent dye. Cells were analyzed in a BD Accuri™C6 Plus Flow Cytometer. For each concentration a staining index (SI: ratio between the intensity of treated cells and control) was calculated and a Minimal Fluorescent Concentrations (MFC: less concentration that produce an increase of the SI) was estimated. The association between MIC values and flow cytometric data was performed using a multivariate general linear model; the essential agreement (EA) between MIC and MFC values was calculated.

Results: Statistical model estimated the cutoff values and the respective confidence interval for the evaluation of the MFC in concentrations ranging between 1 and 8 µg/mL (Table1). Data analysis showed a significant association between MIC of MRP and IMI and MFC values ($p=0.022$ and $p=0.001$, respectively) and a high essential agreement.

Table1 – Association between MIC and MFC values.

| MIC (µg/mL) | MFC (SI) | 95%Confidence interval | EA (%) |
|-------------|----------|------------------------|--------|
| MRP≤1 | ≥16.85 | [9.55:24.14] | 93.06 |
| MRP2 | ≥3.39 | [-20.81:27.59] | |
| MRP4 | ≥1.52 | [-17.22:20.26] | |
| MRP8 | ≥1.17 | [-6.90:9.24] | |
| IMI≤1 | ≥8.28 | [5.43:11.13] | 96.70 |
| IMI2 | ≥3.45 | [-1.93:8.83] | |
| IMI4 | ≥2.93 | [-4.1:10.05] | |
| IMI8 | ≥2.06 | [-1.62:5.73] | |

Conclusions: Flow cytometric Antimicrobial Test (FAST) revealed to be a good tool for evaluation of MRP and IMI effect with a high agreement with MIC.