

P1191

Paper Poster Session

PK/PD of agents against Gram-positives

### Standard vs. alternative dosing regimens for linezolid against *S. aureus*: Potential value of front-loaded regimens

Sebastian Wicha<sup>\*1</sup>, Charlotte Kloft<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Freie Universitaet Berlin, Institute of Pharmacy, Dept. of Clinical Pharmacy and Biochemistry, Berlin, Germany*

<sup>2</sup>*Freie Universitaet Berlin, Klinische Pharmazie & Biochemie, Institute of Pharmacy, Dept. of Clinical Pharmacy and Biochemistry, Berlin, Germany*

**Background:** There is an on-going debate about the suitability of potential alternative dosing strategies for linezolid beyond 600 mg BID. Continuous infusion regimens, but also intensified front-loaded or TID dosing regimens have been hypothesised, but their potential clinical advantage is yet unclear. In order to guide future clinical investigations, the present study aimed at a systematic comparison of these regimens by means of semi-mechanistic PK/PD modelling.

**Material/methods:** A semi-mechanistic PD model was developed for time-kill curve studies with log-phase *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 covering clinically relevant concentrations from 0-32 mg/L linezolid. This PD model was linked to a published human population PK model for linezolid [1]. The linked PK/PD model was utilised for stochastic simulations of the antibacterial effects after 24 h of treatment with the linezolid standard regimen of 600 mg BID and the following alternative regimens: continuous infusion of 1200 mg over 24 h, 600 mg TID and front-loaded 1200 mg followed by 600 mg BID. The impact of creatinine clearance (CLCR, 60-160 mL/min) and body weight (WT, 60-100 kg) on the antibacterial effect was assessed for each scenario. The probability of target attainment (PTA) was calculated for a bacteriostatic (no net difference from inoculum) and bactericidal effect ( $\geq 3 \log_{10}$  CFU/mL reduction of inoculum) to evaluate and compare the regimens.

**Results:** Low CLCR and low WT increased PTA of the bacteriostatic effect, but the impact was  $\leq 0.16$  in the studied covariate ranges. 600 mg BID stimulated a bacteriostatic PTA of  $\geq 0.85$  and bactericidal PTA was  $\leq 0.02$ . Continuous infusion of 1200 mg/24 h displayed a bacteriostatic PTA of  $\leq 0.29$  and bactericidal PTA of  $\leq 0.007$ . 600 mg TID stimulated a bacteriostatic effect with PTA  $\geq 0.96$  and bactericidal PTA was  $\leq 0.04$ . The front-loaded regimen with 1200 mg followed by 600 mg BID was bacteriostatic with PTA  $\geq 0.96$  and bactericidal PTA was  $\leq 0.23$ .

**Conclusions:** The continuous infusion regimen was inferior to the standard dosing regimen. Conversely, front-loaded regimens with a doubled initial dose of 1200 mg were superior to the standard regimen and all other evaluated alternative regimens and stimulated a bactericidal effect in a  $>10$ -fold higher portion of the population. This superiority possibly originated from the significant contribution of initial concentration-dependent killing of linezolid to the observed effect after 24 h. Clinical evaluation of front-loaded regimens for treatment of *S. aureus* infections is warranted.

[1] Sasaki et al. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 55.: 1867–73 (2011).