The congress of **X** ESCMID

Session: P006 MRSA and MSSA: epidemiology and resistance

Category: 3a. Resistance surveillance & epidemiology: MRSA, VRE & other Grampositives

22 April 2017, 15:30 - 16:30 P0144

The investigation of in vitro activity of ceftaroline, vancomycin and teicoplanin for methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolates

Onur Karatuna¹, Meltem Kaya Ayas*², Isin Akyar³

¹Acibadem University, School of Medicine; Medical Microbiology

²Acıbadem University Institute of Health Sciences; Department of Medical Biotechnology

³Acibadem University School of Medicine, Dept. of Microbiology; Acibadem Labmed Medical Laboratories

Background: Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) strains were considered as resistant to all beta-lactam agents, however the introduction of cephalosporins with anti-MRSA activity, i.e. ceftaroline and ceftobiprole, necessitated an update in this consideration. Until recently, vancomycin was used as the first choice treatment option for the serious infections due to MRSA, however the demonstration of treatment failure and increased mortality in infections due to MRSA strains with vancomycin minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of ≥1 mg/L resulted in search for alternative agents. Ceftaroline fosamil is indicated for the treatment of community acquired bacterial pneumonia and acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections. In our study we aimed to determine the MIC values for vancomycin (VA), teicoplanin (TEC) and ceftaroline fosamil (CFT) in a collection of clinical MRSA isolates.

Material/methods: MRSA strains (n=105) isolated from clinical specimens between 2012 and 2015 were included in the study. The isolates were identified with MALDI-TOF MS instrument (Bruker Daltonics, Germany). The antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed using VITEK 2 instrument (bioMérieux, France). Isolates stored at -80°C in cryo vials were retrieved and broth microdilution (BMD) was performed for CFT (Astra Zeneca), VA and TEC (Sigma) following the EUCAST methodology. For an MRSA isolate with VA MIC value of 4 mg/L, VA and VA/TEC MIC test strips (Liofilchem, Italy) were used. *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 29213 was used as the control strain.

Results: MIC_{50} and MIC_{90} values of the strains were determined as 0.5 mg/L and 1 mg/L for VA, 0.5 mg/L and 2 mg/L for TEC and CFT, respectively (Table 1). When evaluated with the current EUCAST

clinical breakpoints, nonsusceptibility was observed in 0.9% (n=1), 1.9% (n=2) and 23.8% (n=25) of the study isolates for VA, TEC and CFT, respectively. The MRSA isolate with VA MIC of 4 mg/L in BMD method also demonstrated an MIC of 4 mg/L with VA gradient strip test, and with VA/TEC gradient strip the values 4/16 mg/L were obtained.

Conclusions: Among the study MRSA isolates we detected an isolate with VA MIC of 4 mg/L which should be categorised as "vancomycin resistant" according to EUCAST breakpoints. We also observed two teicoplanin resistant isolates with TEC MIC of 4 mg/L. Even though ceftaroline fosamil has not entered into clinical practice in our country yet, ceftaroline susceptibility among clinical MRSA isolates was determined as 76.2%.

Table 1. The *in vitro* activity of vancomycin, teicoplanin and ceftaroline for clinical MRSA isolates (n=105)

	MIC (mg/L)			
	MIC range	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	Susceptibility (%)
Vancomycin	0.125 - 4	0.5	1	99.1
Teicoplanin	0.125 - 4	0.5	2	98.1
Ceftaroline	0.125 - 2	0.5	2	76.2