

Session: P097 Understanding and managing *Clostridium difficile*

Category: 9a. Microbial pathogenesis & virulence

25 April 2017, 12:30 - 13:30
P2025

Clostridium difficile associated infection in Russia: epidemiology, virulence, pathophysiological aspects

Marina Sukhina^{*1}, Anton Safin², Igor Obraztsov², Varvara Mikhalevskaya²

¹*State Scientific Centre for Coloproctology; Microbiology and Immunology*

²*State Scientific Centre for Coloproctology*

Background: Antibiotic-associated diarrhea (AAD)-is the most frequent complication of antibiotic treatment. *Clostridium difficile* (CD) is a main cause of AAD due to toxins A (toxA) and B (toxB); herewith spore and biofilm formation hinders specific treatment and promotes disease recurrence. Thus, our aim was to investigate the spread and etiological structure of CD infection (CDI) as well as pathogenicity factors and some aspects of CD-driven host immune response in Russian population.

Material/methods: A total of 522 patients from coloproctological hospital participated in this research. The luminal faeces were screened for glutamatdehydrogenase, toxA and toxB. Bacteriological assay was also held in all cases. Isolated strains were tested for pathogenicity factors: haemolysin, toxins, biofilms and antibiotic resistance. 15 patients with clinical manifestation of CDI and 16 asymptomatic CD carriers participated in the assessment of biofilm formation. Biofilms were grown on glass in 4 and 24 hour time interval with subsequent fixation in 96% ethanol and staining with alcian blue or calcofluor. Biofilm samples were analyzed by means of fluorescent and conventional microscopy. We also investigated oxidative output of neutrophils and monocytes from the whole blood of 10 healthy volunteers. Phagocytes were incubated with 4 CD strains (one clinical manifestation and 3 carriers) and dihydrorhodamine 123 for 30 minutes at 37° and then rhodamine 123 positive cells were quantified by flow cytometry.

Results: CD was isolated in 53% of all cases, 67,7% of them were toxigenic: toxB-positive in 71,7%, toxA-positive in 12,1% and double-positive in 16,2% of cases. Toxigenic CD strains were resistant to cephalosporins in 100%, clindamycin in 83,3%, chloramphenicol in 66,7%, metronidazole in 19,7%, vancomycin in 4% of cases. 57 CD strains were tested for biofilm formation. 69,2% of strains showed

high intensity of biofilm formation. Moreover, isolates from patients with clinical manifestation CDI showed significantly higher intensity of biofilm production compared to asymptomatic CD carriers. All isolated cultures produced haemolysins. Our analysis showed no differences in neutrophil reactive oxygen species production under stimulation by different CD strains, however oxydative metabolism of monocytes was significantly ($p < 0,05$) lower in system stimulated by CD strain from patient with CDI (22,3% of positive cells versus 63,2%, 32,3% and 86,1% in asymptomatic carriers).

Conclusions: Thus, spread of CD is an emerging clinical challenge in Russian population, *toxB* is the main virulence factor of CD. High level of antibiotic resistance determines the importance of adequate antibiotic therapy for CDI. Biofilm formation is an important pathogenicity factor of CD. Moreover, there is an evidence that clinically significant CDI is associated with higher rate of biofilm production. Our preliminary data shows also that clinical manifestation of CDI is associated with higher antioxidant capacity of CD.