

Evaluation of PCR electro-spray ionization-mass spectrometry (PCR/ESI-MS) Fungal Spectrum Assay

Robert O'Hara¹, Richard Barton¹, Deborah Gascoyne-Binzi^{1*} & Mark Wilcox^{1,2}

Department of Microbiology, Leeds Teaching Hospitals¹ & University of Leeds², Leeds LS1 3EX, UK

Introduction

The accurate identification of invasive fungal pathogens remains a significant challenge for the clinical laboratory. The culture and subsequent identification of these pathogens can take several weeks to perform¹. Techniques such as PCR that target conserved fungal sequences, such as the Internal Transcribed Spacer region (ITS)², have proved to be a useful aid to diagnosis.

The Fungal Spectrum (FS) assay (Ibis Biosciences, Abbott, Carlsbad, CA, USA) incorporates simultaneous broad-range multilocus PCR amplification of conserved DNA regions, coupled with electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (PCR/ESI-MS) to identify fungal DNA. Particular loci are targeted to better discriminate fungal species more commonly associated with clinical significance (i.e. genera *Candida* and *Aspergillus*)³.

PCR/ESI-MS was evaluated as a novel means for the identification of fungal pathogens.

Materials and Methods

• 199 previously identified fungal cultures were obtained from the Mycology Reference Centre, Leeds General Infirmary for analysis using PCR/ESI-MS.

• A wide range of genera and species comprising of 70 yeast and 129 molds were selected with particular emphasis on clinically relevant species.

• All isolates were extracted and processed using Abbott equipment, reagents and protocols as recommended for use with PCR/ESI-MS assays.

• DNA from specimens was processed using the FS assay and analysed using the Non-Diagnostic reporting software for research use only (RUO).

• Discrepancies with fungal identification were resolved using repeat phenotypic methods and sequence data from the 18S-ITS-25S region.

Table 1. Tested fungal cultures

Organism	No
<i>Aspergillus</i> spp	29
<i>Fusarium</i> spp	47
Other molds	53
<i>Candida</i> spp	54
<i>Cryptococcus</i> spp	6
<i>Exophiala</i> spp	4
Other yeasts	6

Results

• The FS assay assigned 87% of molds and 97% of yeasts to the correct genus.

• 61% of molds and 86% of yeasts were correctly identified to species level.

• All isolates from the genera *Aspergillus*, *Fusarium* and *Candida* were identified correctly to genus level and 83%, 74% and 91%, respectively, were identified to the correct species.

• Multiple species were reported for 18 (9%) isolates with the PCR/ESI-MS and a single incorrect genus was reported on 13 (6.5%) occasions.

• The PCR/ESI-MS did not record the detection of 4 molds even upon repeat testing (*Acremonium strictum* x2, *Trichophyton terrestre* and *Ochroconis galloparya*).

Table 2. Comparison of PCR-ESI/MS results with reference organisms.

(n)	Correct genus	Correct species
Molds (129)	112	79
Yeasts (70)	68	61
<i>Aspergillus</i> spp (29)	29	24
<i>Fusarium</i> spp (47)	47	35
<i>Candida</i> spp (54)	54	49
<i>Cryptococcus</i> spp (6)	6	5

Conclusions

• The FS assay performed very well identifying yeast species. The isolates misidentified from the genus *Candida* were uncommon species (*C. auris*, *C. metapsilosis* and *C. haemulonis*.)

• The majority of commonly isolated molds were correctly identified.

• The majority of fungal isolates misidentified to genus were obscure fungi not included in the RUO database.

References & Acknowledgement

1. J.H. Shin et al. (2013) *J Clin Microbiol.* 51:136-141
2. S. Heidwmann, M. Monod & Y. Graser. (2010). *Br J Derm* 162: 282-295
3. C. Massire, et al. (2013) *J Clin Microbiol.* 51: 959-566

Equipment, reagents, assays and support of DGB and ROH were provided by Abbott Ibis BioSciences, Carlsbad, CA, USA.