

P1908 Dalbavancin in real life: a national cohort study

Aurélien Dinh^{*1}, Clara Duran¹, Patricia Pavese², Vincent Le Moing³, Boris Bonnin³, Cédric Etienne⁴, Eric Denis⁵, Nicolas Rouanes⁶, Rafael Mahieu⁷, Chandra Adjodah⁸, Alexandre Bleibtreu⁹, Sophie Leautez-Nainville¹⁰, Frédérique Bouchand¹, Thomas Tritz¹¹, Pierre Delobel¹², Lydie Khatchatourian¹³, Philippe Cabaret¹⁴, Fabrice Camou¹⁵, Pascal Chavanet¹⁶, Assi Assi¹⁷, Raphaëlle Riou¹⁸, David Boutoille¹⁸, Catherine Lechiche¹⁹, Johan Courjon²⁰, Flore Lacassin-Beller²¹, Eric Senneville²²

¹ Raymond Poincaré University Hospital - (AP-HP), Garches, France, ² Grenoble University Hospital, Grenoble, France, ³ Montpellier University Hospital, ⁴ Grasse Hospital, ⁵ Antibes Hospital, ⁶ Périgueux Hospital, ⁷ Angers University Hospital, ⁸ CHAM, ⁹ University Hospitals Pitié Salpêtrière - Charles Foix, Paris, France, ¹⁰ CHD La Roche sur Yon, ¹¹ Ambroise Paré University Hospital, ¹² Toulouse University Hospital, ¹³ Cornouaille University Hospital, ¹⁴ Catholic Institute De Lille, Lille, France, ¹⁵ Bordeaux University Hospital, ¹⁶ Dijon University Hospital, ¹⁷ Polyclinique Les Fleurs, ¹⁸ Nantes University Hospital, ¹⁹ Nîmes University Hospital, ²⁰ Nice University Hospital, ²¹ Mont-de-Marsan University Hospital, ²² Tourcoing University Hospital

Background: Dalbavancin is a novel long lasting antibacterial agent with bactericidal activity against gram positive cocci. Data on off-label indications are unknown.

The objective of this study was to describe the indications, efficacy and safety of Dalbavancin since access market in France.

Materials/methods: A national retrospective study was performed from July 2017 to November 2018. To be eligible for inclusion, patients must have received at least one dose of Dalbavancin. We collected patients' characteristics, indication for treatment, and outcome.

Results: Overall, 60 patients from 21 hospitals were included in the study. Mean age was 64.1 ± 15.4 yo; sex ratio (M/F) was 2.53.

A total of 21 (35.0%) patients were immunocompromised.

Indications were: bone and joint infections (n=39; 65.0%), endocarditis (n=13; 21.7%), skin and soft tissue infections (n=9; 15.0%), vascular infections (n=5; 8.3%), catheter-related infections (n=3; 5.0%), bacteremia (n=3; 5.0%), and mediastinitis (n=2; 3.3%).

Dalbavancin was used after a median of 2.0 lines (0-8) of antimicrobial treatment.

Bacteria were identified in 57 cases.

Main bacteria involved were: *Staphylococcus aureus* (n=30; 52.6%), with methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (n=15; 26.3%); *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (n=18; 31.6%), with methicillin-resistant *S. epidermidis* (n=9; 15.8%); other coagulase-negative staphylococci (n=6; 10.5%); *Enterococcus faecalis* (n=3; 5.3%).

Dalbavancin was given as single-drug regimen in 31 (51.7%) patients.

Main treatment regimens for Dalbavancin were a weekly 2-dose regimen (1500mg each) in 32 (53.3%) cases, and a single-dose regimen (1500mg) in 10 (16.7%) cases. However, the number of injections varied from 1 to 10

doses.

At the end of Dalbavancin therapy, clinical cure was observed in 39 (68.4%) patients, and all-cause in-hospital mortality was 1.7%, with one patient classified as clinical failure.

Microbiological cure was achieved in 20/22 patients. No Dalbavancin-resistant strain was isolated.

At Day 28 or at hospital discharge, 45 of 54 patients were cured, whereas 6 patients presented recurrence or were on suppressive antibiotic treatment.

Only 3 adverse events were reported: headaches (n=1), chills and hyperthermia (n=1), and local inflammatory signs (n=1).

Conclusions: In our experience, Dalbavancin seems safe and effective in off-label use indications.