Patient-centred interventions to promote citizen engagement with infection-related decision making


1Imperial College London; Hammersmith Hospital Campus; Infection & Immunity
2Imperial College London; Electrical and Electronic Engineering
3Imperial College London; Nihr Health Protection Research Unit in Healthcare Associated Infections and Antimicrobial Resistance
4Imperial College London; Faculty of Medicine
5Imperial College London; Medicine
6Imperial College London
7Imperial College London; Health Protection Research Unit, National Institute for Health Research

Background: Suboptimal healthcare professional–patient communication and information provision are likely to affect patients’ future attitudes towards infections and antimicrobial usage. Using qualitative methodologies, we engaged with patients to explore mechanisms for addressing current failures in information provision and communication.

Material/methods: Citizens who had received antimicrobials from secondary care in the preceding 12 months in the UK were recruited to participate in in-depth action participatory research sessions. All participants had experience of infection management across a variety of South-East England healthcare institutes. Two 2.5 hour sessions were run with 10 participants where their experiences of
antimicrobial usage and potential solutions for how to address problems identified were explored. Researchers then developed prototype interventions; these models were reviewed and refined via three further 2 hour co-design group sessions held with 20 participants. Data was analysed using NVIVO pro11.0. Reimbursement to participants was provided in line with national public involvement guidelines.

**Results:** Participants felt excluded from decision making and there was consensus in the potential value of personalised information specific to their own infection, co-morbidities, and management. Participants reported that this would complement information provided by trusted healthcare professionals and promote better communication between healthcare professionals and their patients. Information formats were required in both electronic and printable formats to suit individual preferences and technology capabilities.

An in-house clinical decision support tool was used to populate a personalised information leaflet that aims to provide individualised infection and antimicrobial information, automatically updating in real time through direct communication with local hospital electronic health records. This document also provided links to official NHS websites, where patients can search for further information and personal test results. This tool also aims to act as an educational tool regarding antimicrobial adherence and the risks of antimicrobial resistance. Participants reported favouring a simple layout with basic information that can be individualised by the healthcare professional dispensing the information.

**Conclusions:** Infection management and antimicrobial prescribing decisions in secondary care require improvements in patient engagement. Secondary care physicians must not view infection management episodes as discrete events, but as cumulative experiences which have the potential to shape future patient behaviour and understanding of antimicrobial use. We have developed a simple, personalised support mechanism to enhance healthcare professional – patient communication to improve patient engagement with infection management and antimicrobial therapy. We now plan to assess the impact of this intervention prospectively in clinical practice.